arXiv:1611.08369v2 [math.GR] 25 Dec 2016

THE SECOND COHOMOLOGY OF NILPOTENT ORBITS IN CLASSICAL LIE ALGEBRAS

INDRANIL BISWAS, PRALAY CHATTERJEE, AND CHANDAN MAITY

Abstract. The second de Rham cohomology of real nilpotent orbits in non-compact, classical simple real Lie algebras are explicitly computed. Furthermore, the first de Rham cohomology of nilpotent orbits in non-compact classical simple real Lie algebras are computed; they are proven to be zero for nilpotent orbits in all the complex simple real Lie algebras. A key component in these computations is a description of the second and first cohomologies of homogeneous spaces of general connected Lie groups which is obtained here. This description, which generalizes Theorem 3.3 of [BC1], may be of independent interest.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notation and background 3
2.1. ϵ-σ Hermitian forms and associated groups 3
2.2. Partitions and (signed) Young diagrams 7
3. The second and first cohomologies of homogeneous spaces 9
4. Basic results on nilpotent orbits 15
5. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits 23
5.1. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ 25
5.2. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ 26
5.3. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ 27
5.4. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ 36
5.5. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ 45
5.6. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ 52
5.7. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ 58
6. First cohomology of nilpotent orbits 59
References 61

1. Introduction

The nilpotent orbits in the semisimple Lie algebras, under the adjoint action of the associated semisimple Lie groups, form a rich class of homogeneous spaces which are extensively studied. Various topological aspects of these orbits have drawn attention over the years; see [CoMc],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57T15, 17B08.
Key words and phrases. Nilpotent orbits, classical groups, homogeneous spaces.

[Mc] and references therein for an account. All orbits in a semisimple Lie algebra under the adjoint action are equipped with the Kostant-Kirillov two form. For complex semisimple Lie groups, a criterion was given in [ABB, Theorem 1.2] for the exactness of the Kostant-Kirillov form on an adjoint orbit of a semisimple element. In [BC1, Proposition 1.2] the above criterion was generalized to orbits of arbitrary elements under the adjoint action of real semisimple Lie groups with semisimple maximal compact subgroups. This in turn led the authors to the natural question of describing the full second cohomology group of such orbits. Towards this, in [BC1], the second cohomology group of the nilpotent orbits in all the complex simple Lie algebras, under the adjoint action of the corresponding complex group, are computed; see [BC1, Theorems 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.11, 5.12].

The computations in [BC1] naturally motivate us to continue the program for the remaining cases consisting of non-complex, non-compact simple Lie algebras. In [ChMa] the second cohomology groups of nilpotent orbits are computed for most of the nilpotent orbits in exceptional Lie algebras in this set-up, and for the rest of cases of nilpotent orbits, which are not covered in the above computations, upper bounds for the dimensions of the second cohomology groups are obtained; see [ChMa, Theorems 3.2–3.13]. In the present paper we carry forward what is initiated in [BC1], and compute the second cohomology groups of the nilpotent orbits in real classical Lie algebras which are non-complex and non-compact; see Theorems 5.7, 5.9, 5.15, 5.25, 5.26, 5.31, 5.37, 5.40. The methods involved above also steered us to describing the first cohomology groups in all the simple real Lie algebras except the non-compact non-complex exceptional ones; see Theorems 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.8. We refer to [ChMa] for some results on the dimensions of the first cohomology groups of nilpotent orbits in non-compact non-complex exceptional Lie algebras.

In [BC1] to facilitate the computations in complex simple Lie algebras a suitable description of the second cohomology group of any homogeneous space of a connected Lie group was obtained in [BC1, Theorem 3.3] under the assumption that all the maximal compact subgroups of the Lie group are semisimple; see [BC2] for a relatively simple proof of [BC1, Theorem 3.3]. As only the complex simple Lie groups were considered in [BC1], this condition was not restrictive because the maximal compact subgroups in complex simple Lie groups are in fact simple Lie groups. However, in the present case of non-complex simple Lie groups, the maximal compact subgroups are not necessarily semisimple, and hence [BC1, Theorem 3.3] can not be applied anymore, in general, to do the computations. This necessitates a description of the second cohomology groups of homogeneous spaces of Lie groups without any imposed conditions on the maximal compact subgroups therein, and this is formulated in Theorem 3.3, generalizing [BC1, Theorem 3.3]. In Theorem 3.6 we also obtain a description of the first cohomology groups in the same general set-up as above.

We next briefly mention the strategy in our computations. As a preparatory step, we apply Theorem 3.3 to derive in Theorem 5.2 that the second and the first cohomology groups of nilpotent orbits in simple Lie algebras are closely related to the maximal compact subgroups of certain subgroups associated to a copy of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ containing the nilpotent element. The computations in [ChMa] also use Theorem 5.2 crucially. Thus, in view of Theorem 5.2, the main goal in our computations of the second cohomology groups of the nilpotent orbits in real classical non-complex non-compact Lie algebras is to obtain the descriptions of how certain suitable maximal compact subgroups in the centralizers of the nilpotent elements are embedded in certain explicit maximal compact subgroups of the ambient semisimple Lie groups; see Remark 5.5 for some more details in this regard.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some notation and terminologies are fixed, and some backgrounds needed in the paper are recalled. Section 3 deals with the second and first cohomologies of general homogeneous spaces of connected Lie groups; Theorem 3.3 and Theorem

3.6 are proved, and some corollaries of them are drawn which are of independent interest. In Section 4 we workout certain details on nilpotent orbits that are used in Section 5. In Section 5 the second cohomology groups are computed in Theorems 5.7, 5.9, 5.15, 5.25, 5.26, 5.31, 5.37 and 5.40. Finally, in Section 6 the first cohomology groups are computed in Theorems 6.4, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7 and 6.8.

2. Notation and background

In this section we fix some notation which will be used throughout in this paper. Subsequently, a few specialized notation are mentioned as and when they occur.

Once and for all fix a square root of -1 and call it $\sqrt{-1}$. The *center* of a group G is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(G)$ while the *center* of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$. The Lie groups will be denoted by the capital letters, while the Lie algebra of a Lie group will be denoted by the corresponding lower case German letter, unless a different notation is explicitly mentioned. Sometimes, for notational convenience, the Lie algebra of a Lie group G is also denoted by $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$. The connected component of G containing the identity element is denoted by G°. For a subgroup H of G and a subset S of $\mathfrak{g}$, the subgroup of H that fixes S pointwise under the adjoint action is called the *centralizer* of S in H; the centralizer of S in H is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}_H(S)$. Similarly, for a Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ and a subset $S \subset \mathfrak{g}$, by $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{h}}(S)$ we will denote the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ consisting of all the elements that commute with every element of S.

Let Γ be a group acting linearly on a vector space V. The subspace of V fixed pointwise by the action of Γ is denoted by V^Γ. If G is a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, then it is immediate that the adjoint (respectively, coadjoint) action of G° on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})^*$) is trivial; in particular, one obtains a natural action of G/G° on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})^*$). We denote by $[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})]^{G/G^\circ}$ (respectively, $[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})^*]^{G/G^\circ}$) the space of points of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ (respectively, of $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})^*$) fixed pointwise under the action of G/G°.

For a real semisimple Lie group G, an element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is called *nilpotent* if the linear endomorphism

$$\mathrm{ad}(X) : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

is nilpotent. The *set of nilpotent elements* in $\mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{g}}$. A *nilpotent orbit* is an orbit of a nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{g}$ under the adjoint action of G. The orbit of a nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_X$. The set of all nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ under the adjoint action of G is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(G)$.

2.1. **ϵ-σ Hermitian forms and associated groups.** The notation $\mathbb{D}$ will stand for either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Take a right vector space V defined over $\mathbb{D}$. Let $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ be the right $\mathbb{R}$-algebra of $\mathbb{D}$-*linear endomorphisms* of V, and let GL(V) be the *group of invertible elements* of $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$. For a $\mathbb{D}$-linear endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ and an ordered $\mathbb{D}$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ of V, the *matrix of T with respect to $\mathcal{B}$* is denoted by $[T]_{\mathcal{B}}$. Recall that if $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ then $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ is also a (right) $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. When $\mathbb{D}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, let

$$\mathrm{tr} : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D} \quad \text{and} \quad \det : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

respectively be the usual *trace* and *determinant* maps. Let A be a central simple $\mathbb{R}$-algebra. Let

$$\mathrm{Nrd}_A : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

be the *reduced norm* on A, and let $\mathrm{Trd}_A : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the *reduced trace* on A. When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, define

$$\mathrm{SL}(V) := \{z \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \det(z) = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{y \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \mathrm{tr}(y) = 0\}.$$

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ then recall that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ is a central simple $\mathbb{R}$-algebra. In that case, define

$$\mathrm{SL}(V) := \{z \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}V}(z) = 1\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{sl}(V) := \{y \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \mathrm{Trd}_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)}(y) = 0\}.$$

Let $\mathbb{D}$ be $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, as above. Let σ be either the identity map Id or an *involution* of $\mathbb{D}$, meaning σ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear with $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(y)\sigma(x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Following [Bo, § 23.8, p. 264] we call a map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

a ϵ-σ *Hermitian form* if

- $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is additive in each argument,
- $\langle v, u \rangle = \epsilon\sigma(\langle u, v \rangle)$, and
- $\langle v\alpha, u \rangle = \sigma(\alpha)\langle u, v \rangle$ for all $u, v \in V$ and for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{D}$.

A ϵ-σ Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called *non-degenerate* if $\langle v, u \rangle = 0$ for all v if and only if $u = 0$. All ϵ-σ Hermitian forms considered here will be assumed to be non-degenerate.

We define

$$\mathrm{U}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \{T \in \mathrm{GL}(V) \mid \langle Tv, Tu \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle \ \forall\ v, u \in V\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{u}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \{T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \mid \langle Tv, u \rangle + \langle v, Tu \rangle = 0 \ \forall\ v, u \in V\}.$$

We next define

$$\mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathrm{U}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \mathrm{SL}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathfrak{u}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \cap \mathfrak{sl}(V).$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a simple Lie algebra (cf. [Kn, Chapter I, Section 8]).

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, then multiplication by $\sqrt{-1}$ sends the non-degenerate ϵ-σ Hermitian forms on V with $\epsilon = -1$, $\sigma \neq \mathrm{Id}$ to the non-degenerate ϵ-σ Hermitian forms with $\epsilon = 1$, $\sigma \neq \mathrm{Id}$, and this mapping is a bijection. Hence, when $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma \neq \mathrm{Id}$, we consider only the case where $\epsilon = 1$. If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ and $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$, then it can be easily seen that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \equiv 0$. As $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is assumed to be non-degenerate, this, in particular, implies that there is no form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V with $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$.

We define the *usual conjugations* σ_c on $\mathbb{C}$ by $\sigma_c(x_1 + \sqrt{-1}x_2) = x_1 - \sqrt{-1}x_2$, and on $\mathbb{H}$ by $\sigma_c(x_1 + \mathbf{i}x_2 + \mathbf{j}x_3 + \mathbf{k}x_4) = x_1 - \mathbf{i}x_2 - \mathbf{j}x_3 - \mathbf{k}x_4$. Define $|z| := (z\sigma_c(z))^{1/2}$, for $z \in \mathbb{D}$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}^*$ define

$$C_\alpha : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}, \quad x \longmapsto \alpha x \alpha^{-1}.$$

Clearly C_α is a $\mathbb{R}$-algebra automorphism, and $C_\alpha = C_{\alpha/|\alpha|}$. When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, the following facts justify that it is enough to consider the involution σ_c instead of arbitrary involutions. The proof of the next lemma is a straightforward application of Skolem-Noether theorem which can be found in [Bo, § 23.7, p. 262].

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [Bo, § 23.7, p. 262]). *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be $\mathbb{R}$-linear with $\sigma(xy) = \sigma(y)\sigma(x)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$. Then σ is an involution, meaning $\sigma^2 = \mathrm{Id}$, if and only if either $\sigma = \sigma_c$ or $\sigma = C_\alpha \circ \sigma_c$ for some α with $\alpha^2 = -1$.*

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [Bo, § 23.8, p. 264]). *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be an involution, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let $\delta = \pm 1$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $|\alpha| = 1$ and $\alpha\sigma(\alpha)^{-1} = \delta$. Then $\alpha\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a $\delta\epsilon$-$C_\alpha \circ \sigma$ Hermitian form.

As a consequence of Lemma 2.2 if $\sigma : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is an involution, $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

a ϵ-σ Hermitian form, then $\alpha\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a ϵ-σ_c Hermitian form with $\alpha \in \mathbb{H}$ being such that $\sigma = C_\alpha \circ \sigma_c$ and $\alpha^2 = -1$ (as in Lemma 2.1). In particular, an immediate consequence is that if σ, ϵ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are as above, then there exists a ϵ-σ_c Hermitian form, say, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle' : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that $\mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$ and $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) = \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle')$. In view of the above observations, without loss of generality, we may only consider the involution σ_c. *From now on we will restrict to the involution σ_c instead of arbitrary involutions on $\mathbb{D}$.*

The case where $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$ is already investigated in [BC1]. Here the remaining three cases

(1) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$,
(2) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ and $\epsilon = 1$, and
(3) $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ and $\epsilon = \pm 1$

will be investigated.

We next introduce certain standard nomenclature associated to the specific values of ϵ and σ. If $\sigma = \sigma_c$ and $\epsilon = 1$, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a *Hermitian* form. When $\sigma = \sigma_c$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a *skew-Hermitian* form. The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called *symmetric* if $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = 1$. Lastly, if $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a *symplectic* form. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symmetric form on V, define

$$\mathrm{SO}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{so}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\,.$$

Similarly, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a symplectic form on V, then define

$$\mathrm{Sp}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{sp}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\,.$$

When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a skew-Hermitian form on V, define

$$\mathrm{SO}^*(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{so}^*(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) := \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)\,.$$

As before, V is a right vector space over $\mathbb{D}$. We now introduce some terminologies associated to certain types of $\mathbb{D}$-basis of V. When either $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ or $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ or $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$, for a 1-σ Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V, an orthogonal basis $\mathcal{A}$ of V is called *standard orthogonal* if $\langle v, v \rangle = \pm 1$ for all $v \in \mathcal{A}$. For a standard orthogonal basis $\mathcal{A}$ of V, set

$$p := \#\{v \in \mathcal{A} \mid \langle v, v \rangle = 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad q := \#\{v \in \mathcal{A} \mid \langle v, v \rangle = -1\}\,.$$

The pair (p, q), which is independent of the choice of the standard orthogonal basis $\mathcal{A}$, is called the *signature* of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, if $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a skew-Hermitian form on V then $\sqrt{-1}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hermitian form on V; in this case the *signature* of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is defined to be the signature of the Hermitian form $\sqrt{-1}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

In the case where $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, the dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$ is an even number. Let $2n = \dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$. In this case an ordered basis $\mathcal{B} := (v_1, \cdots, v_n; v_{n+1}, \cdots, v_{2n})$ of V is said to be *symplectic* if $\langle v_i, v_{n+i} \rangle = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0$ for all $j \neq n+i$. The ordered set $(v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ is called the *positive part* of $\mathcal{B}$ and it is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_+$. Similarly, the ordered set $(v_{n+1}, \cdots, v_{2n})$ is called the *negative part* of $\mathcal{B}$, and it is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_-$. The *complex structure on V associated to the above symplectic basis $\mathcal{B}$* is defined to be the $\mathbb{R}$-linear map

$$J_{\mathcal{B}} : V \longrightarrow V\,, \quad v_i \longmapsto v_{n+i}\,, \quad v_{n+i} \longmapsto -v_i \quad \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq n\,.$$

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a skew-Hermitian form on V, an orthogonal $\mathbb{H}$-basis

$$\mathcal{B} := (v_1, \cdots, v_m)$$

of V ($m := \dim_{\mathbb{H}} V$) is said to be *standard orthogonal* if $\langle v_r, v_r\rangle = \mathbf{j}$ for all $1 \leq r \leq m$ and $\langle v_r, v_s\rangle = 0$ for all $r \neq s$.

Take $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_{r\times s}(\mathbb{D})$. Then P^t denotes the *transpose* of P. If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, then define $\overline{P} := (\sigma_c(p_{ij}))$. Let

$$\mathrm{I}_{p,q} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I}_p & \\ & -\mathrm{I}_q \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathrm{J}_n := \begin{pmatrix} & -\mathrm{I}_n \\ \mathrm{I}_n & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1}$$

The classical groups and Lie algebras that we will be working with are:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \det(g) = 1\}, && \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R}) := \{z \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mathrm{tr}(z) = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}) := \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H})}(g) = 1\}, && \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H}) := \{z \in \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \mathrm{Trd}_{\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{H})}(z) = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{SU}(p,q) := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \overline{g}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, && \mathfrak{su}(p,q) := \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid \overline{z}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{SO}(p,q) := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, && \mathfrak{so}(p,q) := \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid z^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{Sp}(p,q) := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \overline{g}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} g = \mathrm{I}_{p,q}\}, && \mathfrak{sp}(p,q) := \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{H}) \mid \overline{z}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} + \mathrm{I}_{p,q} z = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R}) := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t \mathrm{J}_n g = \mathrm{J}_n\}, && \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R}) := \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \mid z^t \mathrm{J}_n + \mathrm{J}_n z = 0\};\\
&\mathrm{SO}^*(2n) := \{g \in \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \overline{g}^t \mathbf{j}\mathrm{I}_n g = \mathbf{j}\mathrm{I}_n\}, && \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) := \{z \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H}) \mid \overline{z}^t \mathbf{j}\mathrm{I}_n + \mathbf{j}\mathrm{I}_n z = 0\}.
\end{aligned}$$

For any group H, let H^n_Δ denote the diagonally embedded copy of H in the n-fold direct product H^n. Let V be a vector space over $\mathbb{D}$. Define $\mathfrak{d}_V : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$ to be $\mathfrak{d}_V := \det$ if $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$, and $\mathfrak{d}_V := \mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}V}$ if $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$. Let now V_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$, be right vector spaces over $\mathbb{D}$. As before, $\mathbb{D}$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. For every $1 \leq i \leq m$, let $H_i \subset \mathrm{GL}(V_i)$ be a matrix subgroup. Now define the subgroup

$$S\big(\prod_i H_i\big) := \Big\{(h_1, \cdots, h_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m H_i \;\Big|\; \prod_i \mathfrak{d}_{V_i}(h_i) = 1\Big\} \subset \prod_{i=1}^m H_i\,.$$

The following notation will allow us to write block-diagonal square matrices with many blocks in a convenient way. For r-many square matrices $A_i \in \mathrm{M}_{m_i}(\mathbb{D})$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, the block diagonal square matrix of size $\sum m_i \times \sum m_i$, with A_i as the i-th block in the diagonal, is denoted by $A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r$. This is also abbreviated as $\oplus_{i=1}^r A_i$. Furthermore, if $B \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{D})$ and s is a positive integer, then denote $B^s_{\blacktriangle} := \underbrace{B \oplus \cdots \oplus B}_{s\text{-many}}$.

For a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ over $\mathbb{R}$, a subset $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-*triple* if $X \neq 0$, $[H, X] = 2X$, $[H, Y] = -2Y$ and $[X, Y] = H$. It is immediate that $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ for a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$-subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We now recall a well-known result due to Jacobson and Morozov.

Theorem 2.3 (Jacobson-Morozov, cf. [CoMc, Theorem 9.2.1]). *Let $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-zero nilpotent element in a real semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Then there exist $H, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple.*

We now state a result relating two $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triples with common elements.

Lemma 2.4 (cf. [CoMc, Lemma 3.4.4]). *Let X be a nilpotent element, and let H be a semisimple element, in a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\{X, H, Y_1\}$ and $\{X, H, Y_2\}$ are two $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triples in $\mathfrak{g}$. Then $Y_1 = Y_2$.*

We now record an immediate consequence of the above result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of a Lie group G. Then $\mathcal{Z}_G(X, H) = \mathcal{Z}_G(X, H, Y)$.*

Proof. To prove the lemma it suffices to show that $\mathcal{Z}_G(X,H) \subset \mathcal{Z}_G(X,H,Y)$. Take any $g \in \mathcal{Z}_G(X,H)$. Then $\{\mathrm{Ad}(g)X, \mathrm{Ad}(g)H, \mathrm{Ad}(g)Y\} = \{X, H, \mathrm{Ad}(g)Y\}$ is another $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple in $\mathfrak{g}$ containing X and H. Using Lemma 2.4 we have $\mathrm{Ad}(g)Y = Y$, implying that $g \in \mathcal{Z}_G(X,H,Y)$. □

The following lemma is a basic fact which readily follows from the Skolem-Noether theorem.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{H}^*$ be such that $\mathrm{Re}(\alpha) = \mathrm{Re}(\beta)$ and $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. Then there exists an element $\lambda \in \mathbb{H}^*$ with $|\lambda| = 1$ such that $\alpha = \lambda\beta\lambda^{-1}$.*

2.2. **Partitions and (signed) Young diagrams.** An *ordered set of order n* is a n-tuple $(v_1, \cdots, v_n)$, where $v_1, \cdots, v_n$ are elements of some set, such that $v_i \neq v_j$ if $i \neq j$. If $w \in \{v_1, \cdots, v_n\}$, then write $w \in (v_1, \cdots, v_n)$. For two ordered sets $(v_1, \cdots, v_n)$ and $(w_1, \cdots, w_m)$, the ordered set $(v_1, \cdots, v_n, w_1, \cdots, w_m)$ will be denoted by

$$(v_1, \cdots, v_n) \vee (w_1, \cdots, w_m)\,.$$

Furthermore, for k-many ordered sets $(v_1^i, \cdots, v_{n_i}^i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, define the ordered set $(v_1^1, \cdots, v_{n_1}^1) \vee \cdots \vee (v_1^k, \cdots, v_{n_k}^k)$ to be the following juxtaposition of ordered sets $(v_1^i, \cdots, v_{n_i}^i)$ with increasing i:

$$(v_1^1, \cdots, v_{n_1}^1) \vee \cdots \vee (v_1^k, \cdots, v_{n_k}^k) := (v_1^1, \cdots, v_{n_1}^1, \cdots, v_1^k, \cdots, v_{n_k}^k)\,.$$

A *partition* of a positive integer n is an object of the form $[d_1^{t_1}, \cdots, d_s^{t_s}]$, where $t_i, d_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$, such that $\sum_{i=1}^s t_i d_i = n$, $t_i \geq 1$ and $d_{i+1} > d_i > 0$ for all i; see [CoMc, § 3.1, p. 30]. If $[d_1^{t_1}, \cdots, d_s^{t_s}]$ is a partition of n in the above sense then t_i is called the *multiplicity* of d_i. Henceforth, the multiplicity of d_i will be denoted by t_{d_i}; this is to avoid any ambiguity. Let $\mathcal{P}(n)$ denote the *set of all partitions of n*. For a partition $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$ of n, define

$$\mathbb{N}_\mathbf{d} := \{d_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}\,, \quad \mathbb{E}_\mathbf{d} := \mathbb{N}_\mathbf{d} \cap (2\mathbb{N})\,, \quad \mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d} := \mathbb{N}_\mathbf{d} \setminus \mathbb{E}_\mathbf{d}\,.$$

Further define

$$\mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d}^1 := \{d \mid d \in \mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d},\ d \equiv 1 \pmod 4\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d}^3 := \{d \mid d \in \mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d},\ d \equiv 3 \pmod 4\}\,.$$

Following [CoMc, Theorem 9.3.3], a partition $\mathbf{d}$ of n will be called *even* if $\mathbb{N}_\mathbf{d} = \mathbb{E}_\mathbf{d}$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all even partitions of n. We call a partition $\mathbf{d}$ of n to be *very even* if

- $\mathbf{d}$ is even, and
- t_η is even for all $\eta \in \mathbb{N}_\mathbf{d}$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{v.even}}(n)$ be the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of all very even partitions of n. Now define

$$\mathcal{P}_1(n) := \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid t_\eta \text{ is even for all } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_\mathbf{d}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{-1}(n) := \{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid t_\theta \text{ is even for all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_\mathbf{d}\}\,.$$

Clearly, we have $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{v.even}}(n) \subset \mathcal{P}_1(n)$.

Following [CoMc, p. 140] we define a *Young diagram* to be a left-justified array of rows of empty boxes arranged so that no row is shorter than the one below it; the *size* of a Young diagram is the number of empty boxes appearing in it. There is an obvious correspondence between the set of Young diagrams of size n and the set $\mathcal{P}(n)$ of partitions of n. Hence the *set of Young diagrams of size n* is also denoted by $\mathcal{P}(n)$. A *signed Young diagram* is a Young diagram in which every box is labeled with $+1$ or -1 such that the sign of 1 alternate across rows except when the length of the row is of the form 3 (mod 4). In the latter case when the length of the row is of the form 3 (mod 4) we will alternate the sign of 1 till the last but one and repeat the sign of 1 in the last box as in the last but one box; see Remark 4.14 why the choices

of signs in this case deviate from that in the previous cases. The sign of 1 need not alternate down columns. Two signed Young diagrams are equivalent if and only if each can be obtained from the other by permuting rows of equal length. The *signature of a signed Young diagram* is the ordered pair of integers $(p,\, q)$ where p-many $+1$ and q-many -1 occur in it.

We next define certain sets using collections of matrices with entries comprising of signs ± 1, which are easily seen to be in bijection with sets of equivalence classes of various types of signed Young diagrams. These sets will be used in parametrizing the nilpotent orbits in the classical Lie algebras.

For a partition $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, let $\mathbf{A}_d \subset \mathrm{M}_{t_d \times d}(\mathbb{C})$ be the collection of matrices (m^d_{ij}) with entries in the set $\{\pm 1\}$ and satisfying the following two conditions:

Yd.1 There is an integer $0 \leq p_d \leq t_d$ such that

$$m^d_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p_d \\ -1 & \text{if } p_d < i \leq t_d. \end{cases}$$

Yd.2

$$m^d_{ij} := (-1)^{j+1} m^d_{i1} \qquad \text{if } 1 < j \leq d,\ d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} \cup \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}};$$

$$m^d_{ij} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{j+1} m^d_{i1} & \text{if } 1 < j \leq d-1 \\ -m^d_{i1} & \text{if } j = d \end{cases}, \ d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}\,.$$

For any $(m^d_{ij}) \in \mathbf{A}_d$ set

$$\mathrm{sgn}_+(m^d_{ij}) := \#\{(i,\, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq t_d,\ 1 \leq j \leq d,\ m^d_{ij} = +1\}$$

and

$$\mathrm{sgn}_-(m^d_{ij}) := \#\{(i,\, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq t_d,\ 1 \leq j \leq d,\ m^d_{ij} = -1\}\,.$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(n) := \mathbf{A}_{d_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{A}_{d_s}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,\, q) := \Big\{(M_{d_1}, \cdots, M_{d_s}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(n) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{sgn}_+ M_{d_i} = p,\ \sum_{i=1}^{s} \mathrm{sgn}_- M_{d_i} = q\Big\} \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)\,.$$

For a pair of non-negative integers (p, q) define

$$\mathcal{Y}(p,\, q) := \{(\mathbf{d},\, \mathbf{sgn}) \mid \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n),\ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,\, q)\} \subset \mathcal{P}(n) \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)\,. \tag{2.2}$$

It is easy to see that there is a natural bijection between the set $\mathcal{Y}(p,\, q)$ and the equivalence classes of signed Young diagrams of size $p+q$ with signature $(p,\, q)$. Hence, we will call $\mathcal{Y}(p,\, q)$ the *set of equivalence classes of signed Young diagrams of size $p+q$ with signature $(p,\, q)$*.

For any $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, define the subset $\mathbf{A}_{d,1}$ of $\mathbf{A}_d$ by

$$\mathbf{A}_{d,1} := \{(m^d_{ij}) \in \mathbf{A}_d \mid m^d_{i1} = +1 \ \ \forall\ 1 \leq i \leq t_d\}\,.$$

Further define $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n) \subset \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)$ by

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,\, q) := \{(M_{d_1}, \cdots, M_{d_s}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,\, q) \mid M_\eta \in \mathbf{A}_{\eta,1} \ \ \forall \ \ \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n) := \{(M_{d_1}, \cdots, M_{d_s}) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(n) \mid M_\theta \in \mathbf{A}_{\theta,1} \ \ \forall \ \ \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\}\,.$$

For a pair (p, q) of non-negative integers we define the sets $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}(p, q)$ and $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}_1(p, q)$ by

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}(p, q) := \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}) \mid \ \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n),\ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)\}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}_1(p, q) := \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}) \mid \ \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_1(n),\ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)\}. \tag{2.4}$$

Similarly, for a non-negative integer n, set

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}(n) := \{(\mathbf{d},\, \mathbf{sgn}) \mid \ \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n),\ \ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)\}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\mathcal{Y}^{\rm odd}_{-1}(2n) := \{(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}) \mid \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n), \ \ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}^{\rm odd}_{\mathbf{d}}(2n)\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $M_\theta := (m^\theta_{rs}) \in \mathbf{A}_\theta$, define

$$l^+_{\theta,i}(M_\theta) := \#\{j \mid m^\theta_{ij} = +1\} \quad \text{and} \quad l^-_{\theta,i}(M_\theta) := \#\{j \mid m^\theta_{ij} = -1\}$$

for all $1 \le i \le t_\theta$; set

$$\mathcal{S}'_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q) := \left\{ (M_{d_1}, \cdots, M_{d_s}) \in \mathcal{S}^{\rm even}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} l^+_{\theta,i}(M_\theta) \ \text{ is even for all } \ \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}},\ 1 \le i \le t_\theta \\ \text{or } l^-_{\theta,i}(M_\theta) \ \text{ is even for all } \ \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}},\ 1 \le i \le t_\theta \end{array} \right\}.$$

3. The second and first cohomologies of homogeneous spaces

This section gives convenient descriptions of the second and first cohomologies of homogeneous spaces of general connected Lie groups with the aim of applying them in Sections 5 and 6. The results in this section generalize those of [BC1, § 3] where the maximal compact subgroups of the ambient Lie groups were assumed to be semisimple.

Given a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}$ and an integer $n \ge 0$, let $\Omega^n(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the space of all n-forms on $\mathfrak{a}$. A n-form $\omega \in \Omega^n(\mathfrak{a})$ is said to *annihilate* a given subalgebra $\mathfrak{b} \subset \mathfrak{a}$ if $\omega(X_1, \cdots, X_n) = 0$ whenever $X_i \in \mathfrak{b}$ for some i. Let $\Omega^n(\mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{b})$ denote the space of n-forms on $\mathfrak{a}$ which annihilate $\mathfrak{b}$.

Let L be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $J \subset L$ be a closed subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{j} \subset \mathfrak{l}$. The space of J-invariant p-forms on $\mathfrak{l}$ will be denoted by $\Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^J$. Note that $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^{J^\circ}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p} \omega(X_1, \cdots, [Y, X_i], \cdots, X_p) = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

for all $Y \in \mathfrak{j}$ and all $(X_1, \cdots, X_p) \in \mathfrak{l}^p$. For a continuous function

$$W : J \longrightarrow \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})$$

and a Haar measure μ_J on J, define the integral $\int_J W(g) d\mu_J(g) \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})$ as follows:

$$(\int_J W(g) d\mu_J(g))(X_1, \cdots, X_p) := \int_J W(g)(X_1, \cdots, X_p) d\mu_J(g), \quad (X_1, \cdots, X_p) \in \mathfrak{l}^p.$$

The above integral $\int_J W(g) d\mu_J(g)$ is also denoted by $\int_J W d\mu_J$. The following equations are straightforward.

$$d \int_J W d\mu_J = \int_J dW d\mu_J; \tag{3.2}$$

For any $a \in L$,

$$\mathrm{Ad}(a)^* \int_J W d\mu_J = \int_J \mathrm{Ad}(a)^* W d\mu_J. \tag{3.3}$$

For any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})$, from the left-invariance of the Haar measure μ_J on J it follows that

$$\int_J (\mathrm{Ad}(g)^* \omega) d\mu_J(g) \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^J.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let L be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$. Let $p \ge 1$ be an integer.*

(1) If $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})$ is invariant then $d\omega = 0$.
(2) Every element of $H^p(\mathfrak{l}, \mathbb{R})$ contains an unique invariant $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})$.
(3) If $J \subset L$ is a closed subgroup, then

$$\Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^J \cap d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})) = d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})^J).$$

(4) If L is connected and $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l})$, then $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l})^L$ if and only if $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l}/[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}])$.

Proof. Statement (1) is proved in [CE, p. 102, 12.3]. Statement (2) is proved in [CE, p. 102, Theorem 12.1].

To prove (3), note that it suffices to show that

$$\Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^J \cap d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})) \subset d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})^J)\,.$$

Let μ_J denote the Haar measure on J such that $\mu_J(J) = 1$. For any $\omega \in \Omega^p(\mathfrak{l})^J \cap d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l}))$, we have $\omega = d\nu$ for some $\nu \in \Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})$. Now as ω is J-invariant, it follows that

$$\omega = \mathrm{Ad}(g)^* d\nu = d\mathrm{Ad}(g)^*\nu \tag{3.4}$$

for all $g \in J$. In particular, from (3.4) we have

$$\omega = \int_J (d\mathrm{Ad}(g)^*\nu) d\mu_J(g) = d\int_J (\mathrm{Ad}(g)^*\nu) d\mu_J(g)\,.$$

As μ_J is preserved by the left multiplication by elements of J, it now follows that

$$\int_J (\mathrm{Ad}(g)^*\nu) d\mu_J(g) \in \Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})^J\,.$$

This in turn implies that $\omega \in d(\Omega^{p-1}(\mathfrak{l})^J)$.

The proof of (4) is essentially contained in the proof of [Br, p. 309, Corollary 12.9]; we will give the details. Take any $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l})^L$. Lemma 3.1(1) says that $d\omega = 0$. Thus, for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{l}$,

$$d\omega(x, y, z) = -\omega([x, y], z) + \omega([x, z], y) - \omega([y, z], x) = 0\,. \tag{3.5}$$

As ω is L-invariant, we also have

$$-\omega([x, y], z) + \omega([x, z], y) = -(\omega([x, y], z) + \omega(y, [x, z]) = 0\,. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.5) and (3.6) it follows that $\omega([y, z], x) = 0$, therefore

$$\omega([\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}], \mathfrak{l}) = 0.$$

This is equivalent to saying that $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l}/[\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}])$.

Conversely, if $\omega([\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}], \mathfrak{l}) = 0$, then it is immediate that ω satisfies (3.1) for $p = 2$. In particular, as L is connected, we conclude that $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{l})^L$. This completes the proof of (4). □

Theorem 3.2 ([Mo])**.** *Let G be a connected Lie group, and let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup with finitely many connected components. Let M be a maximal compact subgroup of G such that $M \cap H$ is a maximal compact subgroup of H. Then the image of the natural embedding $M/(M \cap H) \hookrightarrow G/H$ is a deformation retraction of G/H.*

Theorem 3.2 is proved in [Mo, p. 260, Theorem 3.1] under the assumption that H is connected. However, as mentioned in [BC1], using [Ho, p. 180, Theorem 3.1], the proof as in [Mo] goes through when H has finitely many connected components.

Let G, H, M be as in Theorem 3.2, and let $K := M \cap H$. As $M/K \hookrightarrow G/H$ is a deformation retraction by Theorem 3.2, we have

$$H^i(G/H, \mathbb{R}) \simeq H^i(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{for all } i\,. \tag{3.7}$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let G be a connected Lie group, and let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup with finitely many connected components. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of H, and let M be a maximal compact subgroup of G containing K. Then,*

$$H^2(G/H, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^2\Big(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}}\Big) \oplus [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}\,.$$

Proof. In view of (3.7) it is enough to show that

$$H^2(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^2\big(\mathfrak{m}/([\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k})\big) \oplus [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}. \tag{3.8}$$

As M is compact and connected, from [Sp, p. 310, Theorem 30] and the formula given in [Sp, p. 313] we conclude that there are natural isomorphisms

$$H^i(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \frac{\text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^i(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^{i+1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)}{d(\Omega^{i-1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)} \quad \forall \ i. \tag{3.9}$$

Setting $i = 2$ in (3.9),

$$H^2(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \frac{\text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^3(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)}{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)}. \tag{3.10}$$

The numerator and the denominator in (3.10) will be identified.

We claim that

$$\text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^3(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K) = \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \oplus d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K). \tag{3.11}$$

To prove the claim, first note that $d(\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1(1). Therefore, we have

$$\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M + d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K) \subset \text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^3(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K).$$

To prove the converse, take any $\omega \in \text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^3(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)$. Then by Lemma 3.1(2) there is an element $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m})^M$ such that

$$\omega - \widetilde{\omega} \in d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})). \tag{3.12}$$

As $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m})^K$ and $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m})^M$, it follows that $\omega - \widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m})^K$. So (3.12) and Lemma 3.1(3) together imply that

$$\omega - \widetilde{\omega} \in d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K).$$

Take any $f \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K$ such that $\omega - \widetilde{\omega} = df$. As $f \in \Omega(\mathfrak{m})^K$, it follows that $df \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K$. Thus $\widetilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M$. This in turn implies that $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M + d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K)$. Therefore,

$$\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M + d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K) \supset \text{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^3(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K).$$

To complete the proof of the claim, it now remains to show that

$$\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \cap d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K) = 0. \tag{3.13}$$

To prove (3.13), take any $f_1 \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K$ such that $df_1 \in \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M$. From Lemma 3.1(3) it follows that $df_1 = df_2$ for some $f_2 \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^M$. But then from Lemma 3.1(1) it follows that $df_2 = 0$. Thus we have $df_1 = df_2 = 0$. This proves (3.13), and the proof of the claim is complete.

Combining (3.10) and (3.11),

$$H^2(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \oplus \frac{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K)}{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Moreover, as M is connected, Lemma 3.1(4) implies that

$$\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \simeq \Omega^2(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}}). \tag{3.15}$$

We have

$$\text{Ker}(d : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}) \to \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m})) = \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]).$$

In view of the above it is straightforward to check that

$$\frac{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K)}{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)} \simeq \frac{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K}{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K + \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^K}\,. \tag{3.16}$$

We will identify the right-hand side of (3.16).

Consider the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{m}$. As K is compact, there is a K-invariant inner-product $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$ on the $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathfrak{m}$. Now decompose $\mathfrak{m}$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m} =&([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})+\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})\\ =&([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\oplus\big(((([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^\perp\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})\big).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

We next decompose $[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k}$ as

$$[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k} = \big(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^\perp\cap[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\big)\oplus([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})\oplus\big(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^\perp\cap\mathfrak{k}\big)\,. \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.17) and (3.18) the decomposition of $\mathfrak{m}$ is further refined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{m} &= ([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\oplus\big(((([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^\perp\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})\big) \qquad (3.19)\\ &= \big(([\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^\perp\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]\big)\oplus([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})\oplus\big(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^\perp\cap\mathfrak{k}\big)\oplus\big(((([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^\perp\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})\big).\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that all the direct summands in (3.19) are K-invariant. For notational convenience, set

$$\mathfrak{a} := ((([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]+\mathfrak{k})\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^\perp \quad\text{and}\quad \mathfrak{b} := ([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^\perp\,.$$

Let

$$\sigma\,:\,\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}))\,=\,\mathfrak{m}^*\,\longrightarrow\,([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})^*\oplus([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^*\oplus(\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})^*\oplus(\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^* \tag{3.20}$$

be the isomorphism defined by

$$f\,\longmapsto\,(f|_{[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b}}, f|_{[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k}}, f|_{\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b}}, f|_{\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})})\,.$$

As each of the subspaces of $\mathfrak{m}$ in (3.19) is $\mathrm{Ad}(K)$–invariant, the restriction of the isomorphism σ in (3.20) to $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K$ induces an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\sigma}\,:\,\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K\,\stackrel{\sim}{\longrightarrow}\,(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^*)^K\,. \tag{3.21}$$

As $\mathfrak{k} = ([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})\oplus(\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})$ and $[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}] = ([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})\oplus([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})$, it follows that

$$\widetilde{\sigma}(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K+\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^K)\,=\,(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^*)^K\,. \tag{3.22}$$

Thus from (3.21) and (3.22) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K}{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K+\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^K}\\ &\simeq\frac{(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^*)^K}{(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{b})^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{a}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}))^*)^K}\\ &\simeq(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^*)^K\,.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

As $[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k} = [\mathfrak{k},\,\mathfrak{k}]\oplus(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])$, it follows that

$$(([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]\cap\mathfrak{k})^*)^K\,\simeq\,([\mathfrak{k},\,\mathfrak{k}]^*)^K\oplus((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^K\,. \tag{3.24}$$

In [BC1, § 3, (3.13)] it is proved that

$$([\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}]^*)^K\,=\,0\,. \tag{3.25}$$

Thus from (3.23), (3.24) and (3.25) we have

$$\frac{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K}{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K+\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^K}\,\simeq\,((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^K\,. \tag{3.26}$$

Combining (3.16) and (3.26),

$$\frac{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K)}{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)} \simeq \frac{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K}{\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K + \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^K} \simeq ((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^K\,. \tag{3.27}$$

Moreover, as K° acts trivially on $((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)$,

$$((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^K \simeq ((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^{K/K^\circ}\,. \tag{3.28}$$

Combining (3.27) and (3.28),

$$\frac{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^K)}{d(\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)} \simeq ((\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*)^{K/K^\circ}\,.$$

This and (3.15) together imply that the right-hand side of (3.14) coincides with the right-hand side of (3.8). This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Corollary 3.4. *Let G, H, K and M be as in Theorem 3.3. If $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$, then*

$$H^2(G/H,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}\,.$$

Proof. As M is a compact Lie group, we have $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) \oplus [\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}]$. Thus,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{m}/([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k})) \leq 1\,.$$

Now the corollary follows from Theorem 3.3. □

Corollary 3.5. *Let G, H, K and M be as in Theorem 3.3. If K is semisimple, then*

$$H^2(G/H,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^2(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}})\,.$$

Proof. As K is semisimple, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, so it follows from Theorem 3.3. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let G, H, K and M be as in Theorem 3.3. Then*

$$H^1(G/H,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^1(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}})\,.$$

Proof. In view of (3.7) it is enough to show that $H^1(M/K,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/([\mathfrak{m},\,\mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}))$. As M is compact and connected, from [Sp, p. 310, Theorem 30] and the formula given in [Sp, p. 313] it follows that there are natural isomorphisms

$$H^i(M/K,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \frac{\mathrm{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^i(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^{i+1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)}{d(\Omega^{i-1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)} \quad \forall\ i\,. \tag{3.29}$$

Setting $i = 1$ in (3.29),

$$H^1(M/K,\,\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathrm{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)\,. \tag{3.30}$$

We claim that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K) = \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M\,. \tag{3.31}$$

To prove (3.31), first note that $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \subseteq \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K$. From Lemma 3.1(1) it follows that $d\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M$. Thus

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)\,.$$

To prove the other way inclusion, take any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(d : \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K \to \Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^K)$. Then $d\alpha = 0$ which in turn implies that $\alpha([X,\,Y]) = 0$ for all $X,\,Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. As M is connected, using (3.1) it follows that α is M-invariant. This proves the claim in (3.31).

As $\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m})^M \simeq \Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])$, it follows that

$$\Omega^1(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{k})^M \simeq \Omega^1(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}}). \tag{3.32}$$

Combining (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) we have

$$H^1(M/K, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \Omega^1(\frac{\mathfrak{m}}{[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k}}).$$

As noted before, the theorem follows from it. □

Corollary 3.7. *Let G, H, K and M be as in Theorem 3.3. If M is semisimple, then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(G/H, \mathbb{R}) = 0.$$

Proof. As M is semisimple, we have $\mathfrak{m} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$, and hence the corollary follows from Theorem 3.6. □

Recall that any maximal compact subgroup of a complex simple Lie group is simple. The following corollary now follows form (3.7) and Corollary 3.7.

Corollary 3.8. *Let G be a connected simple complex Lie group, and let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup with finitely many connected components. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(G/H, \mathbb{R}) = 0.$$

In the special case where G is a simple real Lie group, the following result is a stronger form of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.9. *Let G be a connected simple real Lie group, and let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup with finitely many connected components. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of H and M a maximal compact subgroup of G containing K. Then*

$$H^2(G/H, \mathbb{R}) \simeq [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(G/H, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneqq \mathfrak{m} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(G/H, \mathbb{R}) \leq 1$.

Proof. Since M is a maximal compact subgroup of a real simple Lie group, it follows from the classification of real semisimple Lie group that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m})$ is either 0 or 1. In both these cases we have $\Omega^2(\mathfrak{m}/([\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] + \mathfrak{k})) = 0$. In view of Theorem 3.3 and (3.7), it follows that

$$H^2(G/H, \mathbb{R}) \simeq [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}.$$

As G is simple, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) \leq 1$. Thus, we have either

$$\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneqq \mathfrak{m} \quad \text{or} \quad \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}.$$

Therefore, from Theorem 3.6 and (3.7) we conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(G/H, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneqq \mathfrak{m} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}. \end{cases}$$

□

4. Basic results on nilpotent orbits

This section is devoted to working out certain details on the structures of the nilpotent elements in classical real semisimple Lie algebras that are required in Sections 5 and 6. This is done in two steps. As suggested in [Mc, § 3.1–3.3, pp. 174-180] and in [CoMc, § 9.3, p. 139], considering a classical Lie algebra, we first apply the Jacobson-Morozov Theorem to assume that a given non-zero nilpotent element is a part of a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple of the classical Lie algebra. We then use the standard basic theory of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations to describe the structures of the $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-isotypical components of the vector space of the underlying natural representation of the classical Lie algebra. When the corresponding classical groups are over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.6 follow from results [SpSt, p. 249, 1.6; p. 259, 2.19] due to Springer and Steinberg which they proved in a direct manner without using the standard theory of finite dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-representations. It should be mentioned that the non-commutativity of $\mathbb{H}$ does not allow direct extensions of this approach to the classical groups over $\mathbb{H}$. The above two-step approach allows us to treat all the cases involving $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ in an uniform manner. We also detect an error in [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1, p. 139] which we point out in Remark 4.14. This led us to modify the definition of signed Young diagrams as given in [CoMc, p. 140] and choose different signs in the last columns of the associated matrices, as done in **Yd**.2.

Given an endomorphism $T \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}(W)$, where W is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, and any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$W_{T,\lambda} := \{w \in W \mid Tw = w\lambda\}.$$

Let V be a right vector space of dimension n over $\mathbb{D}$, where $\mathbb{D}$ is, as before, $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Note that V is also a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space using the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Hence V is a module over $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\} \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. For any positive integer d, let $M(d-1)$ denote the sum of all the $\mathbb{R}$-subspaces A of V such that

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} A = d$, and
- A is an irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V.

Then $M(d-1)$ is the *isotypical component* of V containing all the irreducible submodules of V with highest weight $d-1$. Let

$$L(d-1) := V_{Y,0} \cap M(d-1).$$

As the endomorphisms X, H, Y of V are $\mathbb{D}$-linear, the $\mathbb{R}$-subspaces $M(d-1)$, $V_{Y,0}$ and $L(d-1)$ of V are also $\mathbb{D}$-subspaces. Let

$$t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1).$$

The next lemma is an elementary application of the standard structure theory of irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-modules.

Lemma 4.1. *Let V be a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space, and let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let d be a positive integer such that $M(d-1) \neq 0$. Let $\{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{t_d}\}$ be any $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $L(d-1)$. Then*

(1) $X^d w_j = 0$ and $H(X^l w_j) = X^l w_j(2l+1-d)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t_d$;
(2) the set $\{X^l w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d, \ \ 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M(d-1)$;
(3) the $\mathbb{R}$-Span of $\{w_j, Xw_j, \cdots, X^{d-1}w_j\}$ is an irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodule of $M(d-1)$, and moreover, if W_j is the $\mathbb{D}$-Span of $\{w_j, Xw_j, \cdots, X^{d-1}w_j\}$, then

$$M(d-1) = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_{t_d} = L(d-1) \oplus XL(d-1) \oplus \cdots \oplus X^{d-1}L(d-1). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. As $M(d-1)$, $V_{Y,0}$ and $L(d-1)$ of are $\mathbb{D}$-subspaces of V, it suffices to prove the lemma for $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$. We have the following relations: for $1 \leq j \leq t_d$ and $0 \leq l \leq d-1$,

$$Hw_j = w_j(1-d)\,, \quad H(X^l w_j) = w_j(2l+1-d)\,. \tag{4.2}$$

Using induction on l, it follows from the relations $[H, X] = 2X$, $[H, Y] = -2Y$, $[X, Y] = H$, that $YX^l v = (X^{l-1}v)l(d-l)$ for all $v \in L(d-1)$ and $l > 0$. This in turn implies that

$$Y^l X^l w_j = w_j(l!)(d-1)(d-2)\cdots(d-l)\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Note that $X^d w_j = 0$ because $d-1$ is the highest weight. From (4.2) it follows that $X^l w_j$ and $X^k w_i$ are linearly independent if $l \neq k$; $0 \leq l, k \leq d-1$; $1 \leq j, i \leq t_d$. Furthermore, (4.3) implies that for each l with $0 \leq l < d$, the vectors $\{X^l w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ are $\mathbb{R}$-linearly independent. It is a basic fact that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M(d-1) = d \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(d-1)$. Consequently,

$$\{X^l w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$$

is a $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $M(d-1)$. This proves (2). Part (3) follows immediately from (2). □

Consider the non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the integers that occur as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of such $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-modules. From Lemma 4.1(2) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} t_{d_i} d_i = \dim_{\mathbb{D}} V = n\,.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{d} := \left[d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(n)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Consider $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ defined in Section 2.2. We have

$$V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(d-1) \quad \text{and} \quad L(d-1) = V_{Y,0} \cap V_{H,1-d} \quad \text{for} \quad d \geq 1\,. \tag{4.5}$$

When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ Proposition 4.2 follows from [SpSt, p. 249, 1.6].

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}(V)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple, where V is a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space. For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and for any $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $L(d-1)$, say, $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1)\}$ the following two hold:*

(1) $X^d v_j^d = 0$ and $H(X^l v_j^d) = X^l v_j^d(2l+1-d)$ for $1 \leq j \leq t_d$, $0 \leq l \leq d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.
(2) For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the set $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M(d-1)$. In particular, $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1,\ d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of V.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.1 and (4.5). □

Henceforth, $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ will denote either the identity map or σ_c (defined in Section 2.1) when $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let X be a non-zero nilpotent element in $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Using Theorem 2.3, there exists $H, Y \in \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ such that $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, V becomes a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-module.

We record the following straightforward but useful fact.

Lemma 4.3 (cf. [Mc, § 2.4, p. 171])**.** *Let $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be either the identity map or σ_c when $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Suppose $A \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{D}}(V)$ such that $\langle Ax, y \rangle + \langle x, Ay \rangle = 0$ for all $x, y \in V$. Let v and w be two nonzero elements in V such that $Av = v\lambda$ and $Aw = w\mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$, then $\langle v, w \rangle = 0$.*

Proof. As $\langle Av, w \rangle + \langle v, Aw \rangle = 0$, it follows immediately that $\langle v, w \rangle(\lambda + \mu) = 0$. Now the lemma follows because $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$. □

Lemma 4.4 (cf. [Mc, § 3.2, p. 178]). *Let V be a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space, and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Let σ be as in Lemma 4.3, and let*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $\mathbf{d}$ be as in (4.4), and let $d, d' \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ be such that $d \neq d'$. Then $M(d-1)$ and $M(d'-1)$ are orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. In particular, the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $M(d-1)$ is non-degenerate for all d.

Proof. We may assume that $d > d'$. Let $v \in L(d-1)$ and $u \in L(d'-1)$. By Lemma 4.3 we have that $\langle v, X^l u \rangle = 0$ when $0 \leq l \leq d'-1$. Moreover, $X^l u = 0$ if $l \geq d'$. Thus $\langle v, X^l u \rangle = 0$ for all $l \geq 0$. Hence, $\langle X^h v, X^l u \rangle = (-1)^h \langle v, X^{l+h} u \rangle = 0$. Now the lemma follows from (4.1) of Lemma 4.1. □

The following lemma, which further decomposes each isotypical component $M(d-1) \subset V$ into orthogonal subspaces, seems basic. However, as we are unable to locate it in the literature, we include a proof here.

Lemma 4.5. *Let V be a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space, and $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Let $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be either the identity map or σ_c when $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a (non-degenerate) ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $\mathbf{d}$ be as in (4.4), $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1)$. Then there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-basis $\{w_1, \cdots, w_{t_d}\}$ of $L(d-1)$ such that the set*

$$\{X^l w_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$$

is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M(d-1)$, and moreover, the value of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on a pair of these basis vector is 0, except in the following cases:

1. *If $\sigma = \sigma_c$, then $\langle X^l w_j, X^{d-1-l} w_j \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$.*
2. *If $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = 1$, then $\langle X^l w_j, X^{d-1-l} w_j \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ for d odd, and*
$$\langle X^l w_j, X^{d-1-l} w_{j+1} \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$$
for d even and j odd.
3. *If $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then $\langle X^l w_j, X^{d-1-l} w_j \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ for d even, and*
$$\langle X^l w_j, X^{d-1-l} w_{j+1} \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$$
for d odd and j odd.

Proof. We use induction on $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$. The proof is divided into two parts.

Part 1. In this part assume that one of the following two holds:

- $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$, and $(-1)^{d-1}\epsilon = 1$;
- $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$.

We claim that there is an element $x_1 \in L(d-1)$ such that $\langle x_1, X^{d-1} x_1 \rangle \neq 0$.

To prove the claim by contradiction, assume that $\langle x, X^{d-1} x \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in L(d-1)$. Lemma 4.3 implies that $\langle z_1, X^l z_2 \rangle = 0$ for $l \neq d-1$ and $z_1, z_2 \in L(d-1)$. Fix a nonzero element $x \in L(d-1)$. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is non-degenerate on $M(d-1) \times M(d-1)$, there exists an element $y \in L(d-1)$ such that $\langle x, X^{d-1} y \rangle \neq 0$. As $x + y \in L(d-1)$, we also know that $\langle x+y,\ X^{d-1}(x+y) \rangle = 0$.

Now we will arrive at a contradiction considering the two cases separately. First assume that $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $(-1)^{d-1}\epsilon = 1$. Then

$$0 = \langle x+y,\ X^{d-1}(x+y) \rangle = 2\langle x, X^{d-1} y \rangle .$$

This is evidently a contradiction.

Next assume that $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$. Writing $\langle x, X^{d-1}y\rangle = a + \sqrt{-1}b$ and multiplying y by an appropriate scalar from $\mathbb{C}$ if required, we may assume that $a \neq 0$ as well as $b \neq 0$. Now the condition $\langle x+y, X^{d-1}(x+y)\rangle = 0$ implies that $(a+\sqrt{-1}b) + (-1)^{d-1}(a-\sqrt{-1}b) = 0$. This contradicts the fact that both a and b are non-zero.

Finally, assume that $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$. Writing $\langle x, X^{d-1}y\rangle = a + \mathbf{i}b + \mathbf{j}c + \mathbf{k}d$ and multiplying y by an appropriate scalar from $\mathbb{H}$ if needed, we may assume that $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. Then,

$$\langle x\,,\ X^{d-1}y\rangle + (-1)^{d-1}\epsilon\sigma(\langle x,\ X^{d-1}y\rangle) = 0\,.$$

From this it follows that $(a + \mathbf{i}b + \mathbf{j}c + \mathbf{k}d) + (-1)^{d-1}\epsilon(a - \mathbf{i}b - \mathbf{j}c - \mathbf{k}d) = 0$. This gives a contradiction as both a and b are nonzero. This completes the proof of the claim.

Let W be the $\mathbb{D}$-Span of $\{X^l x_1 \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$, where x_1 is the element of $L(d-1)$ in the above claim. As the vectors $\{X^l x_1 \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-linearly independent, and $\langle x_1, X^{d-1}x_1\rangle \neq 0$, it follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is non-degenerate on W. Hence,

$$V = W \oplus W^{\perp}\,,$$

where $W^{\perp} := \{v \in V \mid \langle v, W\rangle = 0\}$. As $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle)$, it follows immediately that X, H, Y leave $W^{\perp}$ invariant. Let

$$X_1 := X|_{W^{\perp}}\,,\quad H_1 := H|_{W^{\perp}}\,,\quad Y_1 := Y|_{W^{\perp}}\,.$$

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle'$ be the restriction of $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle$ to $W^{\perp}$. Then

$$\{X_1, H_1, Y_1\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(W^{\perp}, \langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle')$$

is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $M_{W^{\perp}}(d-1)$ be the isotypical component of $W^{\perp}$ consisting of sum of all $\mathbb{R}$-subspaces B of $W^{\perp}$ with $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} B = d$ which are also irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X_1, H_1, Y_1\}$-submodules of $W^{\perp}$. Then we have $M_{W^{\perp}}(d-1) = W^{\perp} \cap M(d-1)$ and $M(d-1) = W \oplus M_{W^{\perp}}(d-1)$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} W^{\perp} < \dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$, from the induction hypothesis, $M_{W^{\perp}}(d-1)$ has a $\mathbb{D}$-basis satisfying (1), (2), (3) of the lemma. This $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M_{W^{\perp}}(d-1)$ together with the $\mathbb{D}$-basis

$$\{X^l x_1 \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$$

of W will give the required $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M(d-1)$. This completes the proof using induction on $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$.

Part 2 : Here we deal with the remaining case where $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $(-1)^{d-1}\epsilon = -1$.

For all $x \in L(d-1)$, as

$$\langle x, X^{d-1}x\rangle = (-1)^{d-1}\epsilon\langle x, X^{d-1}x\rangle = -\langle x, X^{d-1}x\rangle\,,$$

it is clear that $\langle x, X^{d-1}x\rangle = 0$. Lemma 4.3 gives that

$$\langle z_1, X^l z_2\rangle = 0$$

for $l \neq d-1$, $z_1, z_2 \in L(d-1)$. Fix any nonzero $x_1 \in L(d-1)$. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is non-degenerate on $M(d-1)\times M(d-1)$, there exists $y_1 \in L(d-1)\setminus x_1\mathbb{D}$ such that $\langle x_1, X^{d-1}y_1\rangle \neq 0$. Let W' be the $\mathbb{D}$-Span of $\{X^l x_1, X^l y_1 \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$. As the vectors $\{X^l x_1, X^l y_1 \mid 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ are $\mathbb{D}$-linearly independent, and $\langle x_1, X^{d-1}y_1\rangle \neq 0$, it follows that $\langle \cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is non-degenerate on W'. As before, define $W'^{\perp} := \{v \in V \mid \langle v, W'\rangle = 0\}$. As $V = W' \oplus W'^{\perp}$, and $\dim_{\mathbb{D}} W'^{\perp} < \dim_{\mathbb{D}} V$, repeating the argument in part 1 the proof is completed. □

The next result is an analogue of Proposition 4.2 in the presence of a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ Proposition 4.6 follows from [SpSt, p. 259, 2.19].

Proposition 4.6. *Let V be a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is either the identity map or it is σ_c when $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $t_d := \dim_{\mathbb{D}} L(d-1)$. Then for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exists a $\mathbb{D}$-basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ such that the following three hold:*

(1) $X^d v_j^d = 0$ and $H(X^l v_j^d) = X^l v_j^d (2l + 1 - d)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t_d$, $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

(2) For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the set $\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1\}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $M(d-1)$. In particular,

$$\{X^l v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d,\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1,\ d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\}$$

is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of V.

(3) The value of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on any pair of the above basis vectors is 0, except in the following cases:

- *If $\sigma = \sigma_c$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$.*
- *If $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = 1$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and*

$$\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_{j+1}^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$$

when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd.

- *If $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, then $\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_j^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and*

$$\langle X^l v_j^d, X^{d-1-l} v_{j+1}^d \rangle \in \mathbb{D}^*$$

when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd.

Proof. Lemma 4.4 gives the orthogonal decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(d-1)$ with respect to the non-degenerate form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V. The proposition now follows from Lemma 4.5. □

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form on V. Define the form

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_d : L(d-1) \times L(d-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad (v, u)_d := \langle v, X^{d-1} u \rangle \tag{4.6}$$

as in [CoMc, p. 139].

Remark 4.7. In [CoMc, p.139], starting with a nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, the form in (4.6) is defined on the highest weight space of $M(d-1)$ involving the element Y of an $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple $\{X, H, Y\}$. However, in Section 5 we work with a basis of $M(d-1)$ constructed using X (see Proposition 4.6 (2)). Hence for our convenience the form in (4.6) is defined using X.

Remark 4.8. Observe that if $\{X, H, Y\}$ is a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, and $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(X, H, Y)$, then $(gx, gy)_d = (x, y)_d$ for all $x, y \in L(d-1)$ if and only if $\langle gv, gw \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$ for all $v, w \in M(d-1)$.

Remark 4.9. It is easy to see that when $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is Hermitian, then the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ is Hermitian (respectively, skew-Hermitian) if d is odd (respectively, even). When $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is symmetric, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ is symmetric (respectively, symplectic) if d is odd (respectively, even). When $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is symplectic, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ is symplectic (respectively, symmetric) if d is odd (respectively, even). Lastly, when $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is skew-Hermitian, then $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ is skew-Hermitian (respectively, Hermitian) if d is odd (respectively, even).

From Lemma 4.5 it follows that $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ is non-degenerate. The $\mathbb{D}$-basis elements

$$\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$$

of $L(d-1)$ in Proposition 4.6 are modified as follows:

(1) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = 1$, by suitable rescaling each element of $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ we may assume that
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_{j+1}^d\rangle = 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd.

In particular, $(v_1^d, \cdots, v_{t_d}^d)$ is an standard orthogonal basis of $L(d-1)$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ for $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon = -1$, analogously we may assume that the elements of the $\mathbb{R}$-basis
$$\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$$
of $L(d-1)$ in Proposition 4.6 satisfy the condition that
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_{t_d/2+j}^d\rangle = 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $1 \leq j \leq t_d/2$.

In particular, $(v_1^d, \cdots, v_{t_d}^d)$ is an orthogonal basis for $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
$$(v_1^d, \cdots, v_{t_d/2}^d; v_{t_d/2+1}^d, \cdots, v_{t_d}^d)$$
is a symplectic basis for $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ of $L(d-1)$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$.

(2) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, rescaling the elements of the $\mathbb{C}$-basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ we may assume that
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm\sqrt{-1}$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

In particular, $(v_1^d, \cdots, v_{t_d}^d)$ is an orthogonal basis of $L(d-1)$ with respect to $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

(3) If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\epsilon = 1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, after rescaling and conjugating the elements of the $\mathbb{H}$-basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ by suitable scalars (see Lemma 2.6) the elements of the $\mathbb{H}$-basis, we may assume that
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \mathbf{j}$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

If $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\epsilon = -1$ and $\sigma = \sigma_c$, analogously we may assume that the elements of the $\mathbb{H}$-basis $\{v_j^d \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_d\}$ of $L(d-1)$ satisfy
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \pm 1$ when $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, and
- $\langle v_j^d, X^{d-1}v_j^d\rangle = \mathbf{j}$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

Let $(v_1^d, \cdots, v_{t_d}^d)$ be an ordered $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $L(d-1)$ as in Proposition 4.6 satisfying the properties as Remark 4.9. The proofs of the following lemmas are straightforward and they are omitted.

Lemma 4.10. *Let $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\epsilon = 1$. Fix $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $1 \leq j \leq t_d$.*

(1) If $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and j is odd, define
$$w_{jl}^\eta := \begin{cases} \left(X^l v_j^\eta + X^{\eta-1-l}v_{j+1}^\eta\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq \eta-1 \\ \left(X^{2\eta-1-l}v_j^\eta - X^{l-\eta}v_{j+1}^\eta\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \eta \leq l \leq 2\eta-1. \end{cases}$$
Then $\langle w_{jl}^\eta, w_{j(2\eta-1-l)}^\eta\rangle = 0$, and $\langle w_{jl}^\eta, w_{jl}^\eta\rangle = (-1)^l \langle v_j^\eta, X^{\eta-1}v_{j+1}^\eta\rangle$.

(2) For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, define
$$w_{jl}^\theta := \begin{cases} \left(X^l v_j^\theta + X^{\theta-1-l}v_j^\theta\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v_j^\theta & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left(X^{\theta-1-l}v_j^\theta - X^l v_j^\theta\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle w^\theta_{jl},\, w^\theta_{j(\theta-1-l)}\rangle = 0$ *and*

$$\langle w^\theta_{jl},\, w^\theta_{jl}\rangle = \begin{cases} (-1)^l\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^l\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^{l+1}\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\langle w^\theta_{jl},\, w^\theta_{jl'}\rangle = 0$$

when $l \ne l'$ *and* $0 \le l, l'\, \theta - 1$.

Lemma 4.11. *Let* $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ *and* $\epsilon = 1$. *Fix* $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ *and* $1 \le j \le t_d$.

(1) For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *define*

$$\widetilde{w}^\eta_{jl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\eta_j + X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j\sqrt{-1}\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \le l < \eta/2 \\ \big(X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j - X^l v^\eta_j\sqrt{-1}\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta-1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle \widetilde{w}^\eta_{jl},\, \widetilde{w}^\eta_{j(\eta-1-l)}\rangle = 0$ *and* $\langle \widetilde{w}^\eta_{jl},\, \widetilde{w}^\eta_{jl}\rangle = (-1)^l\sqrt{-1}\langle v^\eta_j, X^{\eta-1}v^\eta_j\rangle$.

(2) For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *define*

$$\widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\theta_j + X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v^\theta_j & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j - X^l v^\theta_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle \widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl},\, \widetilde{w}^\theta_{j(\theta-1-l)}\rangle = 0$, *and*

$$\langle \widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl}, \widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl}\rangle = \begin{cases} (-1)^l\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^l\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^{l+1}\langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1}v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\langle \widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl},\, \widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl'}\rangle = 0$$

when $l \ne l'$ *and* $0 \le l, l' \le \theta - 1$.

Lemma 4.12. *Let* $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, $\sigma = \sigma_c$ *and* $\epsilon = 1$. *Fix* d *and* $1 \le j \le t_d$.

(1) For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *define*

$$\widehat{w}^\eta_{jl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\eta_j + X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j\alpha_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \le l < \eta/2 \\ \big(X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j - X^l v^\eta_j\alpha_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta-1 \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha_j = \langle v^\eta_j,\, X^{\eta-1}v^\eta_j\rangle$. *Then*

$$\langle \widehat{w}^\eta_{jl},\, \widehat{w}^\eta_{j(\eta-1-l)}\rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \widehat{w}^\eta_{jl},\, \widehat{w}^\eta_{jl}\rangle = (-1)^{l+1}\mathrm{Nrd}(\langle v^\eta_j,\, X^{\eta-1}v^\eta_j\rangle)\,.$$

(2) When $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *define*

$$\widehat{w}^\theta_{jl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\theta_j + X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v^\theta_j & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j - X^l v^\theta_j\big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Then $\langle \widehat{w}^\theta_{jl},\, \widehat{w}^\theta_{j(\theta-1-l)}\rangle = 0$, *and*

$$\langle \widehat{w}^\theta_{jl},\, \widehat{w}^\theta_{jl}\rangle = \begin{cases} (-1)^l \langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1} v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^l \langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1} v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ (-1)^{l+1} \langle v^\theta_j, X^{\theta-1} v^\theta_j\rangle & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\langle \widehat{w}^d_{jl},\, \widehat{w}^d_{jl'}\rangle = 0$$

when $l \ne l'$ *and* $0 \le l, l' \le d-1$.

The next corollary, which closely follows [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1], gives a direct correspondence between the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ on $L(d-1)$ and the signature of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ on $M(d-1)$ when both $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ have signatures. In part (3) of the corollary we record a correct version of a result in [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1].

Corollary 4.13. *Let* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *be a* ϵ-σ *Hermitian form on* V. *Assume that* $\epsilon = 1$, *that is, the form* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *is symmetric or Hermitian.*

(1) If $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *then the signature of* $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $M(d-1)$ *is* $\big(\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1)/2,\, \dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1)/2\big)$.

(2) If $d \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, *then the signature of* $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $M(d-1)$ *is*

$$\left((\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + p_d - q_d)/2,\, (\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + q_d - p_d)/2\right),$$

where (p_d, q_d) *is the signature of* $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$.

(3) If $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, *then the signature of* $\langle\cdot\,,\cdot\rangle$ *on* $M(d-1)$ *is*

$$\left((\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + q_d - p_d)/2,\, (\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + p_d - q_d)/2\right),$$

where (p_d, q_d) *is the signature of* $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$.

Proof. This follows directly from Lemmas 4.10, 4.11 and 4.12. □

Remark 4.14. We will now point out an error in [CoMc, p. 139, Lemma 9.3.1], and also explain why the definition of m^d_{id} in the case of $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$ as in **Yd**.2 (in Section 2.2) is different from that in the case of $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} \cup \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\mathbb{D}$, V be as in Section 4, and let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be a Hermitian (respectively symmetric) form if $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$). Take a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$. Note that if $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, then the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ in (4.6) is Hermitian (respectively, symmetric) when $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C}$ (respectively, $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$). Let (p_d, q_d) be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Corollary 4.13(3) says that the signature of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ restricted to $M(d-1)$ is

$$\left((\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + q_d - p_d)/2,\, (\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + p_d - q_d)/2\right)$$

when $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Set the signs in first column of the matrix (m^d_{ij}) as in **Yd**.1, and thus define $m^d_{i1} = +1$ when $1 \le i \le p_d$, and define $m^d_{i1} = -1$ when $p_d < i \le t_d$.

However, in the case of $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, if we, following [CoMc, p. 139, Lemma 9.3.1], define

$$m^d_{ij} = (-1)^{j+1} m^d_{i1}$$

for $1 < j \le d$, then it can be easily verified that

$$(\mathrm{sgn}_+(m^d_{ij}),\, \mathrm{sgn}_-(m^d_{ij})) = \left((\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + p_d - q_d)/2,\, (\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + q_d - p_d)/2\right).$$

Thus, if $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $p_d \ne q_d$, then appealing to Corollary 4.13(3) we see that the signature of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ restricted to $M(d-1)$ does not coincide with $(\mathrm{sgn}_+(m^d_{ij}),\, \mathrm{sgn}_-(m^d_{ij}))$. This shows that the second statement of [CoMc, p. 139, Lemma 9.3.1] is not true when $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $p_d \ne q_d$ (this means that $r \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ in the notation of [CoMc, p. 139, Lemma 9.3.1]). Recall that in

Yd.2 (see Section 2.2), when $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$ we have defined $m^d_{ij} = (-1)^{j+1} m^d_{i1}$ when $1 < j \leq d-1$ while $m^d_{id} := -m^d_{i1}$. Using the definitions of m^d_{i1} as above we have that

$$(\mathrm{sgn}_+(m^d_{ij}),\, \mathrm{sgn}_-(m^d_{ij})) \;=\; ((\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + q_d - p_d)/2,\, (\dim_{\mathbb{D}} M(d-1) + p_d - q_d)/2)\,.$$

Thus, if we define m^d_{ij} as in **Yd**.1 and **Yd**.2, then the signature of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $M(d-1)$ does coincide with $(\mathrm{sgn}_+(m^d_{ij}),\, \mathrm{sgn}_-(m^d_{ij}))$ for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$.

5. Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits

In this section we will compute the second de Rham cohomology group of the nilpotent orbits in real classical simple Lie algebras. The case of complex simple Lie algebras was dealt in [BC1], so this case will not be considered here.

Lemma 5.1. *Let G be a semisimple algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathrm{Lie}\, G(\mathbb{R})$. Then $\mathcal{Z}_G(X, H, Y)$ is a (reductive) Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_G(X)$ which is defined over $\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The nontrivial fact that the group $\mathcal{Z}_G(X, H, Y)$ is a (reductive) Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_G(X)$ is proved in [CoMc, p. 50, Lemma 3.7.3]. Since X, H, $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}\, G(\mathbb{R})$, it is immediate that the group $\mathcal{Z}_G(X, H, Y)$ is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be an algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{R}$ such that G is $\mathbb{R}$-simple. Let*

$$0 \neq X \in \mathrm{Lie}\, G(\mathbb{R})$$

be a nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the orbit of X under the adjoint action of the identity component $G(\mathbb{R})^\circ$ on $\mathrm{Lie}\, G(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathrm{Lie}\, G(\mathbb{R})$. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathcal{Z}_{G(\mathbb{R})^\circ}(X, H, Y)$ and M a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbb{R})^\circ$ containing K. Then,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \;\simeq\; [(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ}$$

and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \;=\; \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneq \mathfrak{m} \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}\,. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Lemma 5.1 it follows that the group $\mathcal{Z}_G(X, H, Y)$ is a (reductive) Levi subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_G(X)$. In particular, we have the semidirect product decomposition:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{G(\mathbb{R})^\circ}(X) \;=\; \mathcal{Z}_{G(\mathbb{R})^\circ}(X, H, Y) R_u(\mathcal{Z}_G(X))(\mathbb{R})\,,$$

where $R_u(\mathcal{Z}_G(X))$ is the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{Z}_G(X)$. As $R_u(\mathcal{Z}_G(X))(\mathbb{R})$ simply connected and nilpotent, this implies that any maximal compact subgroup in $\mathcal{Z}_{G(\mathbb{R})^\circ}(X, H, Y)$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathcal{Z}_{G(\mathbb{R})^\circ}(X)$. Since $G(\mathbb{R})^\circ$ is a connected simple real Lie group, the theorem now follows from Theorem 3.9. □

Let V be a right $\mathbb{D}$-vector space, $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $\sigma : \mathbb{D} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be either the identity map or the usual conjugation σ_c when $\mathbb{D}$ is $\mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be a ϵ-σ Hermitian form. Let $\mathrm{SL}(V)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be the groups defined in Section 2.1. We now follow the notation established at the beginning of Section 4. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$, and let $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}]$ be as in (4.4). Let $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ be the ordered $\mathbb{D}$-basis in Proposition 4.6 for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.6 that

$$\mathcal{B}^l(d) \;:=\; (X^l v^d_1, \cdots, X^l v^d_{t_d}) \tag{5.1}$$

is an ordered $\mathbb{D}$-basis of $X^l L(d-1)$ for $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define

$$\mathcal{B}(d) := \mathcal{B}^0(d) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}^{d-1}(d) \ \forall\ d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\,, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B} := \mathcal{B}(d_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{B}(d_s)\,. \tag{5.2}$$

Let

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathrm{End}(V) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{D}) \tag{5.3}$$

be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras with respect to the ordered basis $\mathcal{B}$. Next define the character

$$\chi_{\mathbf{d}} : \prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}(L(d-1)) \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^*$$

by

$$\chi_{\mathbf{d}}\big(A_{t_{d_1}}, c \ldots, A_{t_{d_s}}\big) := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^s \big(\det A_{t_{d_i}}\big)^{d_i} & \text{if } \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \\ \prod_{i=1}^s \big(\mathrm{Nrd}_{\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{H}}(L(d_i-1))} A_{t_{d_i}}\big)^{d_i} & \text{if } \mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}\,. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.3.

(1) The following equality holds:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}(V)}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SL}(V) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(X^l L(d-1)) \subset X^l L(d-1), \\ \big[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} = \big[g|_{L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \text{ for all } 0 \leq l < d, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

(2) In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}(V)}(X,H,Y) \simeq \big\{ g \in \prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}(L(d-1)) \mid \chi_{\mathbf{d}}(g) = 1 \big\}$.

(3) If $\{X, H, Y\}$ *is a* $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$*-triple in* $\mathfrak{su}(V, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$*, then*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SL}(V) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(X^l L(d-1)) \subset X^l L(d-1), \\ \big[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} = \big[g|_{L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)}, (gx, gy)_d = (x,y)_d \\ \text{for all } d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}},\ 0 \leq l \leq d-1,\ \text{and } x, y \in L(d-1) \end{array} \right\};$$

here $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ *is the form on* $L(d-1)$ *defined in* (4.6).

(4) In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)}(X,H,Y) \simeq \big\{ g \in \prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{U}\big(L(d-1), (\cdot,\cdot)_d\big) \mid \chi_{\mathbf{d}}(g) = 1 \big\}$.

Proof. For notational convenience, denote

$$\mathcal{G} := \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SL}(V) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(X^l L(d-1)) \subset X^l L(d-1); \\ \big[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} = \big[g|_{L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \ \forall\, 0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Take any $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}(V)}(X,H,Y)$. Then $g(L(d-1)) \subseteq L(d-1)$ by (4.5). In particular, it follows that $g(X^l L(d-1)) \subseteq X^l L(d-1)$ because g commutes with X. Let $B_d := [g|_{L(d-1)}]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)}$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. As g commutes with X, it follows that $\big[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} = B_d$ for $0 \leq l \leq d-1$. This proves that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}(V)}(X,H,Y) \subset \mathcal{G}$.

Take any $h \in \mathcal{G}$. Then $h(X^l L(d-1)) \subset X^l L(d-1)$ for all $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. For every $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, let (a_{ij}^d) denote the matrix

$$\big[h|_{L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \in \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{D})\,.$$

Then $(a_{ij}^d) = \big[h|_{X^l L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)}$ for all $0 \leq l \leq d-1$.

We will show that h commutes with X and H. From (5.2) it follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\mathbb{D}$-basis of V. Hence to prove that $Xh = hX$ we need to show $Xh(X^l v_j^d) = hX(X^l v_j^d)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t_d$ and $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. However this follows from the following straightforward computation:

$$hX(X^l v_j^d) = hX^{l+1} v_j^d = \sum_{i=1}^{t_d} X^{l+1} v_i^d a_{ij}^d = X\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{t_d} X^l v_i^d a_{ij}^d\Big) = Xh(X^l v_j^d)\,. \tag{5.4}$$

As H acts as multiplication by a scalar in $\mathbb{R}$ (in fact, by a scalar in $\mathbb{Z}$) on the $\mathbb{D}$-basis $\mathcal{B}^l(d)$ (of $X^l L(d-1)$) for all $0 \leq l \leq d-1$ with $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, it is immediate that h commutes with H. In view of Lemma 2.5, we conclude that h commutes with Y. This completes the proof of statement (1).

The third statement follows from statement (1) and Remark 4.8. □

Remark 5.4. When $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, the isomorphisms (2) and (4) in Lemma 5.3 were proved in [SpSt, p. 251, 1.8] and [SpSt, p. 261, 2.25] using only the Jordan canonical forms. However, as the non-commutativity of $\mathbb{H}$ creates technical difficulties in extending these results of [SpSt] to the case of $\mathbb{D} = \mathbb{H}$, we take a different approach by appealing to the Jacobson-Morozov theorem and the basic results on the structures of finite dimensional representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Remark 5.5. We follow the notation of Theorem 5.2 in this remark. Theorem 5.2 asserts that when M is semisimple, in order to compute $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R})$ it is enough to know the isomorphism class of K. However, when M is not semisimple, it is not enough to know the isomorphism classes of K and M, rather we also need to know how K is embedded in M; see Theorem 5.2. Although the isomorphism classes of M are well-known when G is $\mathbb{R}$-simple, and the isomorphism classes of K can be obtained immediately using (2) and (4) of Lemma 5.3, hardly anything can be concluded, from these isomorphism classes, on how K is embedded in M. We devote the major part in the next Sections 5.3, 5.4, 5.5 and 5.6 to find out how K is sitting inside M for the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{g}$ for which M is not semisimple.

5.1. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$.** To recall a standard parametrization of $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, let $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})}$ be a nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ the corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$. First take X to be nonzero; let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.2 and follow the notation used therein. Denote by V the right $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R}^n$ of column vectors; so $\mathfrak{sl}(V) = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the finite ordered set of natural numbers that occur as dimension of the non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$. Then $\left[d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}\right] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ because $\sum_{r=1}^{s} t_{d_r} d_r = n$. This induces a map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$. It is easy to see that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq [1^n]$ as $X \neq 0$. By declaring $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_0) = [1^n]$ we now have a surjective map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)\,. \tag{5.5}$$

The following known result says that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}$ is "almost" a parametrization of the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 5.6 ([CoMc, Theorem 9.3.3])**.** *For the map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}$ in (5.5),*

$$\#\Psi^{-1}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathbf{d}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for all } \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n) \\ 2 & \text{for all } \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{even}}(n). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.7. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element. Let $\mathbf{d} = [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the partition associated to the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (i.e., $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$ in the notation of Theorem 5.6). Then the following hold:*

(1) If $n \geq 3$, $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} = 1$ and $t_\theta = 2$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
(2) In all the other cases $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Proof. This is obvious when $X = 0$, so assume that $X \neq 0$.

The notation in Lemma 5.3 and the paragraph preceding it will be employed. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(X, H, Y)$. Let

M be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ containing K. As $M \simeq \mathrm{SO}_n$, it follows that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = 0$ when $n = 2$, and $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}$ when $n \geq 3$. Thus using Theorem 5.2,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})^*]^{K/K^\circ} & \text{if } n \geq 3\,. \end{cases}$$

Treating $\mathbb{R}^n$ as a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-module through the standard action of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$, construct a $\mathbb{R}$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ as in (5.2), and consider the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra isomorphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (5.3). It now follows from Lemma 5.3(2) that the restriction of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ induces an isomorphism of Lie groups:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(X, H, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} S\Big(\prod_{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{R})^d_\Delta\Big)\,. \tag{5.6}$$

As $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathrm{O}_{t_d})^d_\Delta$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{R})^d_\Delta$, and $S(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{R})^d_\Delta)$ is normal in $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{R})^d_\Delta$, it follows that $S\big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathrm{O}_{t_d})^d_\Delta\big)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $S\big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{R})^d_\Delta\big)$. In view of the above observations it is now clear that for $n \geq 3$,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})^*]^{K/K^\circ} \quad \text{where} \quad K \simeq \prod_{\eta\in\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{O}_{t_\eta} \times S\Big(\prod_{\theta\in\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{O}_{t_\theta}\Big)\,. \tag{5.7}$$

Consider the group $A := S(\mathrm{O}_{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathrm{O}_{n_r})$ for positive integers $n_1, \cdots, n_r$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the Lie algebra of A. It is then easy to prove (see the proof of Case-2 in [BC1, Theorem 5.6]) that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{a})]^{A/A^\circ} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } n_r = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

It is also immediate that if B_1, B_2 are Lie groups, $B_3 := B_1 \times B_2$, and $\mathfrak{b}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, is the Lie algebra of B_i, then

$$[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}_3)]^{B_3/B_3^\circ} \simeq [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}_1)]^{B_1/B_1^\circ} \oplus [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{b}_2)]^{B_2/B_2^\circ}\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Now the theorem follows from (5.8), (5.9) and (5.7). □

5.2. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$.** Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ be a nilpotent element with $\mathcal{O}_X$ being its orbit in $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$. First assume that $X \neq 0$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. As before, the notation of Proposition 4.2 will be used. Let V denote the right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space $\mathbb{H}^n$ of column vectors. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the finite ordered set of integers that occur as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$. So $[d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ as $\sum_{r=1}^s t_{d_r} d_r = n$. This gives a map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$. It follows easily that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq [1^n]$. We now set $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}(\mathcal{O}_0) = [1^n]$. Thus we have a surjective map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n). \tag{5.10}$$

Theorem 5.8 ([CoMc, Theorem 9.3.3])**.** *The map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}$ in (5.10) is a bijection.*

Theorem 5.9. *For every nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$,*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0\,.$$

Proof. We assume that $X \neq 0$ because the theorem is obvious when $X = 0$.

Suppose that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$. Using the notation in Lemma 5.3 and the paragraph preceding it, let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}(X, H, Y)$. As $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$, it follows from Theorem 5.2 that

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})^*]^{K/K^\circ} \tag{5.11}$$

for all $X \neq 0$. Treating $\mathbb{H}^n$ as a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-module via the standard action of $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$, we construct a $\mathbb{H}$-basis $\mathcal{B}$ as in (5.2), and consider the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra isomorphism $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ in (5.3). It now follows from Lemma 5.3(2) that the restriction of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}}$ induces an isomorphism of Lie groups

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}(X, H, Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} S\big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{H})^d_\Delta\big)\,.$$

As $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_d)^d_\Delta$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{H})^d_\Delta$, and

$$\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_d)^d_\Delta \subset S\big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{H})^d_\Delta\big)\,,$$

it follows that $\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_d)^d_\Delta$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $S\big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{GL}_{t_d}(\mathbb{H})^d_\Delta\big)$. In particular we have,

$$K \simeq \prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_d)\,.$$

As $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$, it now follows from (5.11) that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. □

5.3. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$.** Let n be a positive integer and (p,q) a pair of non-negative integers such that $p+q = n$. In this subsection we compute the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SU}(p,q)$. As explained before, we need to address only non-compact groups; so it will be assumed that $p > 0$ and $q > 0$. Throughout this subsection $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^n$ defined by $\langle x, y\rangle := \overline{x}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} y$, where $\mathrm{I}_{p,q}$ is as in (2.1).

First recall a standard parametrization of $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Using Proposition 4.2, this is done as in Section 5.1 for $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Let $X' \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})}$ be a nilpotent element. First assume that $X' \neq 0$, and let $\{X', H', Y'\} \subset \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. The right $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of column vectors $\mathbb{C}^n$ is denoted by V. Let $\{c_1, \cdots, c_l\}$, with $c_1 < \cdots < c_l$, be the finitely many ordered integers that occur as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X', H', Y'\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{c_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(c_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq l$. Then as $\sum_{r=1}^{l} t_{c_r} c_r = n$, we have $[c_1^{t_{c_1}}, \cdots, c_l^{t_{c_l}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$. Define $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_{X'}) := [c_1^{t_{c_1}}, \cdots, c_l^{t_{c_l}}]$. It is easy to see that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_X) \neq [1^n]$ as $X \neq 0$. By declaring $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(\mathcal{O}_0) = [1^n]$ we obtain a bijection $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$. As $\mathrm{SU}(p,q) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, consequently $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{su}(p,q)} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})}$, we have an obvious natural map $\Theta_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SU}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Let

$$\Psi'_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)} := \Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})} \circ \Theta_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SU}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$$

be the composition.

Let now $X \in \mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ be a nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ the nilpotent orbit of X in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$. Assume that $X \neq 0$, and let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.6, Remark 4.9(2), and follow the notation used therein. As before, denote by V the right $\mathbb{C}$-vector space of column vector $\mathbb{C}^n$. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the finite ordered set of integers that arise as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$. Then we have $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, and moreover, $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$.

Next assign $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$ to each $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SU}(p,q))$; see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ we will define a $t_d \times d$ matrix (m^d_{ij}) in $\mathbf{A}_d$ which depends only on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ containing X. For this, recall that the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d : L(d-1) \times L(d-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined as in (4.6) is Hermitian (respectively, skew-Hermitian) when d is odd (respectively, even). Let

$(p_d,\, q_d)$ be the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d$. Now define

$$m^d_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le p_d \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p_d < i \le t_d \end{cases};\ d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}},$$

and

$$m^d_{ij} := (-1)^{j+1} m^d_{i1} \qquad \text{if } 1 < j \le d,\ d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} \cup \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}; \tag{5.12}$$

$$m^\theta_{ij} := \begin{cases} (-1)^{j+1} m^\theta_{i1} & \text{if } \ 1 < j \le \theta - 1 \\ -m^\theta_{i1} & \text{if } j = \theta \end{cases},\ \theta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}. \tag{5.13}$$

The above matrices (m^d_{ij}) clearly satisfy the conditions in (**Yd**.2). We set $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} := ((m^{d_1}_{ij}), \cdots, (m^{d_s}_{ij}))$. It now follows from the last paragraph of Remark 4.14 that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$. Thus we have the map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SU}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(p,q)\,, \quad \mathcal{O}_X \longmapsto \left(\Psi'_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X),\, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\right).$$

The following theorem is standard.

Theorem 5.10. *The above map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SU}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}(p,q)$ is a bijection.*

Remark 5.11. Taking into account the error in [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1] mentioned in Remark 4.14, the parametrization in Theorem 5.10 is a modification of the one in [CoMc, Theorem 9.3.3].

Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{su}(p,q)}$, and $\{X,\, H,\, Y\}$ a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \left(\mathbf{d},\, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\right)$. Then $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$. Recall that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ determines the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d$ on $L(d-1)$ for every $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$; let $(p_d,\, q_d)$ be the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d$, for $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ be an ordered $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $L(d-1)$ as in Proposition 4.6. It now follows from Proposition 4.6(3)(a) that $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ is an orthogonal basis for $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d$. We also assume that the vectors in the ordered basis $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ satisfies the properties in Remark 4.9(2). In view of the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d$ we may further assume that

$$\sqrt{-1}(v^\eta_j, v^\eta_j)_\eta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le p_\eta \\ -1 & \text{if } p_\eta < j \le t_\eta \end{cases};\ \text{when } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, \tag{5.14}$$

$$(v^\theta_j, v^\theta_j)_\theta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le p_\theta \\ -1 & \text{if } p_\theta < j \le t_\theta \end{cases};\ \text{when } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}. \tag{5.15}$$

Let $\left\{\widetilde{w}^d_{jl} \mid 1 \le j \le t_d,\ 0 \le l \le d-1\right\}$ be the $\mathbb{C}$-basis of $M(d-1)$ constructed using $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ as done in Lemma 4.11. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \le l \le d-1$, set

$$V^l(d) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{\widetilde{w}^d_{1l}, \cdots, \widetilde{w}^d_{t_d l}\}.$$

The ordered basis $\left(\widetilde{w}^d_{1l}, \cdots, \widetilde{w}^d_{t_d l}\right)$ of $V^l(d)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^l(d)$.

Lemma 5.12. *The following holds:*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SU}(p,q) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(V^l(d)) \subset V^l(d) \ \textit{and} \\ \left[g|_{V^l(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} = \left[g|_{V^0(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)} \ \textit{for all } d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}},\, 0 \le l < d \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. As $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y) = \mathrm{SU}(p,q) \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{C})}(X,H,Y)$, using Lemma 5.3(1) it follows that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SU}(p,q) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g\big(X^l L(d-1)\big) \subset X^l L(d-1) \ \text{ and} \\ \left[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} = \left[g|_{L(d-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \text{for all } d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l < d \end{array} \right\}.$$

For fixed $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ we consider the $t_d \times 1$-column matrices $\big[\widetilde{w}^d_{j\,l}\big]_{1\le j\le t_d}$, $\big[\widetilde{w}^d_{j\,(d-1-l)}\big]_{1\le j\le t_d}$ and $\big[X^l v^d_j\big]_{1\le j\le t_d}$, $\big[X^{d-1-l}v^d_j\big]_{1\le j\le t_d}$. Rewriting the definitions in Lemma 4.11 when $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\big[\widetilde{w}^\eta_{jl}\big] \,=\, \Big(\big[X^l v^\eta_j\big] + \big[X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j\big]\sqrt{-1}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,;\ \big[\widetilde{w}^\eta_{j(\eta-1-l)}\big] \,=\, \Big(\big[X^l v^\eta_j\big] - \big[X^{\eta-1-l}v^\eta_j\big]\sqrt{-1}\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$$

for $0 \le l < \eta/2$.

Furthermore, when $1 \le \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\big[\widetilde{w}^\theta_{jl}\big] \,=\, \Big(\big[X^l v^\theta_j\big] + \big[X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j\big]\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\,;\ \big[\widetilde{w}^\theta_{j(\theta-1-l)}\big] \,=\, \Big(\big[X^l v^\theta_j\big] - \big[X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_j\big]\Big)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},$$

for all $0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2$, while for $l = (\theta-1)/2$,

$$\big[\widetilde{w}^\theta_{j\,(\theta-1)/2}\big] \,=\, \big[X^{(\theta-1)/2}v^\theta_j\big]\,.$$

When $\theta = 1$, then $\big[\widetilde{w}^\theta_j\big] = \big[v^\theta_j\big]$.

In particular, if $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ is fixed, then for every $0 \le l \le d-1$ the following holds:

$$g(X^l L(d-1)) \subset X^l L(d-1) \ \text{ if and only if } \ g(V^l(d)) \subset V^l(d)\,,$$

and moreover,

$$[g|_{X^l L(d-1)}]_{\mathcal{B}^l(d)} \,=\, [g|_{L(d-1)}]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad [g|_{V^l(d)}]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} \,=\, [g|_{V^0(d)}]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)}\,.$$

In fact, for any g as above, $\big[g|_{L(d-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(d)} \,=\, \big[g|_{V^0(d)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)}$. □

For every $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le d-1$, orderings on the sets $\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle > 0\}$, $\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle < 0\}$, will be constructed. These ordered sets will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}^l_+(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}^l_-(d)$ respectively. The construction will be done in three steps according as $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ or $d \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ or $d \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$.

For each $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le \eta - 1$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^l_+(\eta) := \begin{cases} \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{1\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{p_\eta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{t_\eta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathcal{C}^l_-(\eta) := \begin{cases} \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{t_\eta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{1\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\eta_{p_\eta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For each $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^l_+(\theta) := \begin{cases} \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{1\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{p_\theta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{(p_\theta+1)\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{1\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{p_\theta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{1\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{p_\theta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le (\theta-1) \\ \big(\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{(p_\theta+1)\,l},\,\cdots,\,\widetilde{w}^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\,\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le (\theta-1) \end{cases} \tag{5.16}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^l_-(\theta) := \begin{cases} \big(\widetilde{w}^\theta_{(p_\theta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\theta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\theta_{p_\theta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\theta_{(p_\theta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\theta_{(p_\theta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le (\theta-1) \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\theta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\theta_{p_\theta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le (\theta-1). \end{cases} \tag{5.17}$$

Similarly, for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^l_+(\zeta) := \begin{cases} \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{p_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{(p_\zeta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{(p_\zeta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{(p_\zeta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le (\zeta-1) \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{p_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le (\zeta-1) \end{cases} \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^l_-(\zeta) := \begin{cases} \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{(p_\zeta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{p_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{p_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{1\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{p_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le (\zeta-1) \\ \big(\widetilde{w}^\zeta_{(p_\zeta+1)\,l},\ \cdots,\ \widetilde{w}^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le (\zeta-1). \end{cases} \tag{5.19}$$

For all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le d-1$, define

$$V^l_+(d) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle > 0\},\quad V^l_-(d) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle < 0\}.$$

It can be verified using (5.14), (5.15) together with the orthogonality relations in Lemma 4.11 that $\mathcal{C}^l_+(d)$ (respectively, $\mathcal{C}^l_-(d)$) is indeed an ordered set based on the (unordered) set

$$\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle > 0\}$$

(respectively, $\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(d) \mid \langle v, v\rangle < 0\}$) for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le d-1$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}^l_+(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}^l_-(d)$ are ordered bases of $V^l_+(d)$ and $V^l_-(d)$ respectively, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \le l \le d-1$.

In the next lemma we specify a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ which will be used in Proposition 5.14. For notational convenience, we will use $(-1)^l$ to denote the sign '+' or the sign '−' depending on whether l is an even integer or an odd integer.

Lemma 5.13. *Let K be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ consisting of all $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) $g(V^l_+(d)) \subset V^l_+(d)$ and $g(V^l_-(d)) \subset V^l_-(d)$, for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le d-1$.

(2) When $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\left[g|_{V^0_+(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_+(\eta)} &= \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta)}\\ \left[g|_{V^0_-(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_-(\eta)} &= \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta)}\end{aligned} \quad ;\ \textit{for all } 0 \le l \le \eta - 1.$$

(3) When $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\left[g|_{V^0_+(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_+(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} & \textit{for all } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2\\ \left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}_+(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_+(\theta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} & \textit{for all } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1,\end{cases}$$

$$\left[g|_{V^0_-(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_-(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} & \textit{for all } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2\\ \left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}_-(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_-(\theta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} & \textit{for all } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1.\end{cases}$$

(4) When $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\left[g|_{V^0_+(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_+(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)} & \textit{for all } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2\\ \left[g|_{V^{(\zeta-1)/2}_-(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_-(\zeta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)} & \textit{for all } (\zeta-1)/2 < l \le \zeta-1,\end{cases}$$

$$\left[g|_{V^0_-(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_-(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)} & \textit{for all } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2\\ \left[g|_{V^{(\zeta-1)/2}_+(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_+(\zeta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)} & \textit{for all } (\zeta-1)/2 < l \le \zeta-1.\end{cases}$$

Then K *is a maximal compact subgroup of* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$.

Proof. In view of the description of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ in the Lemma 5.12 we see that its subgroup

$$K := \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SU}(p,q) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(V^l_+(d)) \subset V^l_+(d)\,,\ \ g(V^l_-(d)) \subset V^l_-(d) \ \text{ and} \\ \left[g|_{V^l(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} = \left[g|_{V^0(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)} \ \text{ for all } d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}},\ 0 \le l < d \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$$

is maximal compact. Thus it suffices show that if $g \in \mathrm{SU}(p,q)$ and $g(V^l_+(d)) \subset V^l_+(d)$, $g(V^l_-(d)) \subset V^l_-(d)$, then $\left[g|_{V^l(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} = \left[g|_{V^0(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)}$ for all $0 \le l \le d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ if and only if g satisfies the conditions (2), (3) and (4) in the statement of the lemma. To do this, we first record the following relations among the ordered sets $\mathcal{C}^l(d), \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(d)$ and $\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(d)$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$: When $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^l(\eta) = \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta) \ \text{ for } 0 \le l \le \eta - 1. \tag{5.20}$$

When $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^l(\theta) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta) & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_{+1}(\theta) \vee \mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_{-1}(\theta) & \text{for } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta) & \text{for all } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases} \tag{5.21}$$

When $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta) & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_{-1}(\zeta) \vee \mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_{+1}(\zeta) & \text{for } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta) & \text{for all } (\zeta-1)/2 < l \le \zeta-1. \end{cases} \tag{5.22}$$

Assuming that $g \in \mathrm{SU}(p,q)$, $g(V^l_+(d)) \subset V^l_+(d)$, $g(V^l_-(d)) \subset V^l_-(d)$ and

$$\left[g|_{V^l(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} = \left[g|_{V^0(d)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)}$$

for all $0 \le l \le d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, we next show that g satisfies the conditions (2), (3) and (4) in the lemma.

In view of (5.20), for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\left[g|_{V^l(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta)} = \left[g|_{V^l(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\eta)} = \left[g|_{V^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\eta)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\eta)} & 0 \\ 0 & \left[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\eta)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le \eta - 1$,

$$\left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\eta)} = \left[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\eta)} \text{ and } \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\eta)} = \left[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\eta)}.$$

Hence, (2) of the lemma holds.

In view of (5.21), for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2$,

$$\left[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta) \vee \mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\theta)} & 0 \\ 0 & \left[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\theta)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore if $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, then for all $0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2$,

$$\left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\theta)} \text{ and } \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\theta)}.$$

From (5.21), we have

$$\left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_+(\theta) \vee \mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_-(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \left[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\theta)} & 0 \\ 0 & \left[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\theta)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\Big[g|_{V_+^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}_+^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V_+^0(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}_+^0(\theta)},\ \Big[g|_{V_-^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}_-^{(\theta-1)/2}(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V_-^0(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}_-^0(\theta)}.$$

When $(\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1$, we have

$$\Big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)\vee\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \big[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\theta)} & 0 \\ 0 & \big[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\theta)} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus if $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, then for all $(\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1$,

$$\Big[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V^0_{+1}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{+1}(\theta)} \text{ and } \Big[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} = \Big[g|_{V^0_{-1}(\theta)}\Big]_{\mathcal{C}^0_{-1}(\theta)}.$$

Hence, (3) of the lemma holds.

When $g(V^l_+(\zeta)) \subset V^l_+(\zeta)$, $g(V^l_-(\zeta)) \subset V^l_-(\zeta)$ and $\big[g|_{V^l(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta)} = \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)}$ for all $0 \leq l \leq \zeta-1$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, using (5.22) it follows, similarly as above, that (4) of the lemma holds.

To prove the opposite implication, we assume that g satisfies the conditions $g(V^l_+(d)) \subset V^l_+(d)$, $g(V^l_-(d)) \subset V^l_-(d)$ as well as the conditions (2), (3), (4) of the lemma. Using the relations (5.20), (5.21) and (5.22) it is now straightforward to check that $\big[g|_{V^l(d)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(d)} = \big[g|_{V^0(d)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(d)}$ for all $0 \leq l \leq d-1, d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We now introduce some notation which will be required to state Proposition 5.14. For $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}_+(d) := \mathcal{C}^0_+(d) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}^{d-1}_+(d) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_-(d) := \mathcal{C}^0_-(d) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}^{d-1}_-(d).$$

Let $\alpha := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. We enumerate

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\eta_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \alpha\}$$

such that $\eta_i < \eta_{i+1}$,

$$\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\theta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \beta\}$$

such that $\theta_j < \theta_{j+1}$ and similarly

$$\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\zeta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \gamma\}$$

such that $\zeta_j < \zeta_{j+1}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{E}_+ := \mathcal{C}_+(\eta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+(\eta_\alpha);\quad \mathcal{O}^1_+ := \mathcal{C}_+(\theta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+(\theta_\beta);\quad \mathcal{O}^3_+ := \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_\gamma);$$

$$\mathcal{E}_- := \mathcal{C}_-(\eta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-(\eta_\alpha);\ \mathcal{O}^1_- := \mathcal{C}_-(\theta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-(\theta_\beta) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}^3_- := \mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_\gamma).$$

Finally we define

$$\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{E}_+ \vee \mathcal{O}^1_+ \vee \mathcal{O}^3_+,\quad \mathcal{H}_- := \mathcal{E}_- \vee \mathcal{O}^1_- \vee \mathcal{O}^3_- \text{ and } \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_+ \vee \mathcal{H}_-. \tag{5.23}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ is a standard orthogonal basis with $\mathcal{H}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle v, v\rangle = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle v, v\rangle = -1\}$. In particular, $\#\mathcal{H}_+ = p$ and $\#\mathcal{H}_- = q$. From the definition of the $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ we have the following relations:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{\eta_i}{2} t_{\eta_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{\beta} \Big(\frac{\theta_j+1}{2} p_{\theta_j} + \frac{\theta_j-1}{2} q_{\theta_j}\Big) + \sum_{k=1}^{\gamma} \Big(\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2} p_{\zeta_k} + \frac{\zeta_k+1}{2} q_{\zeta_k}\Big) = p$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha}\frac{\eta_i}{2}t_{\eta_i}+\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}p_{\theta_j}+\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}q_{\theta_j}\big)+\sum_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}p_{\zeta_k}+\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}q_{\zeta_k}\big)=q.$$

The $\mathbb{C}$-algebra

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\big)$$

is embedded into $\mathrm{M}_p(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathrm{M}_q(\mathbb{C})$ in the following two ways:

$$\mathbf{D}_p\colon\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\longrightarrow\mathrm{M}_p(\mathbb{C})$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(A_{\eta_1},B_{\eta_1},\cdots,A_{\eta_\alpha},B_{\eta_\alpha};C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)\\&\qquad\longmapsto\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(A_{\eta_i}\oplus B_{\eta_i}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\eta_i/2}\\&\oplus\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta}\Big(\big(C_{\theta_j}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\oplus\big(C_{\theta_j}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\Big)\oplus\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma}\Big(\big(E_{\zeta_k}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}}\oplus\big(F_{\zeta_k}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_q\colon\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\longrightarrow\mathrm{M}_q(\mathbb{C})$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(A_{\eta_1},B_{\eta_1},\cdots,A_{\eta_\alpha},B_{\eta_\alpha};C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)\\&\qquad\longmapsto\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(B_{\eta_i}\oplus A_{\eta_i}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\eta_i/2}\oplus\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta}\Big(\big(D_{\theta_j}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\oplus\big(D_{\theta_j}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\Big)\\&\qquad\oplus\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma}\Big(\big(F_{\zeta_k}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}}\oplus\big(E_{\zeta_k}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Define the characters

$$\chi_p\colon\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^*$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(A_{\eta_1},B_{\eta_1},\cdots,A_{\eta_\alpha},B_{\eta_\alpha};C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)\\&\longmapsto\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}(\det A_{\eta_i}^{\eta_i/2}\det B_{\eta_i}^{\eta_i/2})\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}(\det C_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}}\det D_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}})\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}(\det E_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}}\det F_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}})\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\chi_q\colon\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{GL}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\times\mathrm{GL}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})\big)\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^*$$

$$\big(A_{\eta_1},B_{\eta_1},\cdots,A_{\eta_\alpha},B_{\eta_\alpha};C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)$$

$$\longmapsto \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}(\det A_{\eta_i}^{\eta_i/2}\det B_{\eta_i}^{\eta_i/2})\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}(\det C_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}}\det D_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}})\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}(\det E_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}}\det F_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}}).$$

Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}:\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}}\mathbb{C}^n\longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{C}$-algebras induced by the ordered basis $\mathcal{H}$ defined in (5.23). Let M be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(p,q)$ which leaves invariant simultaneously the two subspace spanned by $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$. Clearly, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(M)=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p)\times\mathrm{U}(q))$.

Proposition 5.14. *Let $X\in\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{su}(p,q)}$, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X)=(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$. Let $\alpha:=\#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta:=\#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma:=\#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\{X,H,Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ and $(p_d,\,q_d)$ the signature of the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$, $d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, as defined in (4.6). Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ as in Lemma 5.13. Then $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K)\subset\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{U}(p)\times\mathrm{U}(q))$ is given by*

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K)=\left\{\mathbf{D}_p(g)\oplus\mathbf{D}_q(g)\;\middle|\;\begin{array}{c}g\in\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{U}(p_{\eta_i})\times\mathrm{U}(q_{\eta_i})\right)\times\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\left(\mathrm{U}(p_{\theta_j})\times\mathrm{U}(q_{\theta_j})\right)\\ \times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\left(\mathrm{U}(p_{\zeta_k})\times\mathrm{U}(q_{\zeta_k})\right),\ \text{and}\ \ \chi_p(g)\chi_q(g)=1\end{array}\right\}.$$

Proof. This follows by writing the matrices of the elements of the maximal compact subgroup K in Lemma 5.13 with respect to the basis $\mathcal{H}$ as in (5.23). □

Theorem 5.15. *Let $X\in\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})\in\mathcal{Y}(p,q)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X)=(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ as in the notation of Theorem 5.10). Let*

$$l:=\#\{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}\mid p_d\neq 0\}+\#\{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}},\mid q_d\neq 0\}.$$

Then the following hold:

(1) If $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=l-1$.
(2) If $l=1$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.
(3) If $l\geq 2$ and $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\geq 1$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=l-2$.

Proof. This is clear when $X=0$. So assume that $X\neq 0$.

Let $\{X,H,Y\}\subset\mathfrak{su}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ as in Lemma 5.13, and let $\mathcal{H}$ be as in (5.23). Let M be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SU}(p,q)$ which leaves invariant simultaneously the two subspace spanned by $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$. Then M contains K. It follows either from Proposition 5.14 or from Lemma 5.3 (4) that

$$K\simeq K':=S\Big(\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathrm{U}(p_d)\times\mathrm{U}(q_d))^d_{\Delta}\Big).$$

This implies that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})=l-1$. We now appeal to Proposition 5.14 to make the following observations :

(1) If $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then $\mathfrak{k}\subset[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]$.
(2) If $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\geq 1$ and $l\geq 2$, then $\mathfrak{k},\not\subset[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]$.

Since K is not necessarily connected, we need to show that the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ is trivial. For this, first denote

$$\mathbf{L}:=\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathrm{U}(p_d)\times\mathrm{U}(q_d))^d_{\Delta}$$

and identify K with K'. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be the Lie algebra of $\mathbf{L}$. Then

$$[\mathbf{L},\mathbf{L}]=\prod_{d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}}(\mathrm{SU}(p_d)\times\mathrm{SU}(q_d))^d_{\Delta}.$$

In particular $[\mathbf{L},\mathbf{L}]\subset K\subset\mathbf{L}$. Thus $[\mathfrak{l},\mathfrak{l}]=[\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}]$, and hence $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})=\mathfrak{k}\cap\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. Since $\mathbf{L}$ is connected, the adjoint action of $\mathbf{L}$ is trivial on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{l})$. So the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ is trivial.

Proof of (1): From the above observations it follow that $\mathfrak{k} \subset [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ when $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. As the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ is trivial, we have $\big[(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])\big]^{K/K^\circ} = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$. In view of Theorem 5.2 we now have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = l - 1$.

Proof of (2): Suppose $\mathbf{d} = [d^{t_d}]$ where $t_d d = p + q$. Since $l = 1$, it follows that either $p_d = t_d$ or $q_d = t_d$. In both cases we have $K \simeq S\big(\mathrm{U}(t_d)^d_\Delta\big)$. So $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ is trivial. Hence, in view of Theorem 5.2 we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Proof of (3): From the above observations we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \not\subset [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$ when $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \geq 1$ and $l \geq 2$. Since $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$, it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) - 1$. By Theorem 5.2, and the fact that the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k})$ is trivial, we conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}[(\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}])^*]^{K/K^\circ} = l - 2\,.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5.4. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$.** Let n be a positive integer and (p, q) a pair of non-negative integers such that $p + q = n$. The aim in this subsection is to compute the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$. We will further assume that $p, q > 0$ as we not need to deal with compact groups. Throughout this subsection $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the symmetric form on $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\langle x, y\rangle := x^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where $\mathrm{I}_{p,q}$ is as in (2.1).

We first need to describe a suitable parametrization of the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the parametrization of $\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$ as in Theorem 5.6. Since $\mathrm{SO}(p, q) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ (consequently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(p,q)} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})}$) we have natural maps $\Theta_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R}))$, and

$$\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ} := \Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})} \circ \Theta_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n).$$

Recall that $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ)) \subset \mathcal{P}_1(n)$ (this follows form the first paragraph of Remark 4.9). Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ the corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.6, Remark 4.9 (1), and follow the notation used therein.

As before, V denotes the right $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of column vectors $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be ordered finite set of natural numbers that occur as dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(d_r - 1)$, $1 \leq r \leq s$. Then $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_1(n)$, and moreover, $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$.

We next assign $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)$ to each $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ)$; see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)$. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ we will define a $t_d \times d$ matrix (m^d_{ij}) in $\mathbf{A}_d$ that depends only on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$. For this, recall that the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_d : L(d-1) \times L(d-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined in (4.6), is symmetric or symplectic according as d is odd or even. Let (p_d, q_d) be the signature of $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ when $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Now Define

$$m^\eta_{i1} := +1 \qquad \text{if } \ 1 \leq i \leq t_\eta, \quad \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}\,;$$

$$m^\theta_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ 1 \leq i \leq p_\theta \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p_\theta < i \leq t_\theta \end{cases}, \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\,;$$

and for $j > 1$, define (m^d_{ij}) as in (5.12) and (5.13). Then the matrices (m^d_{ij}) clearly verify (**Yd**.2). Set $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} := ((m^{d_1}_{ij}), \cdots, (m^{d_s}_{ij}))$. It now follows from the last paragraph of Remark 4.14 and the above definition of m^η_{i1} for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p, q)$. Thus we have the

map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{even}}(p,q)\,, \quad \mathcal{O}_X \longmapsto \big(\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X),\, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big)\,.$$

The map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}$ is surjective.

Theorem 5.16. *For the above map* $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}$,

$$\#\Psi^{-1}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{sgn}) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{for all } \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{v.even}}(n) \\ 2 & \text{for all } \mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{P}_1(n) \setminus \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{v.even}}(n),\ \mathbf{sgn} \in \mathcal{S}'_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 5.17. Taking into account the error in [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1] pointed out in Remark 4.14, the above parametrization in Theorem 5.16 is a modification of Theorem 9.3.4 in [CoMc].

Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(p,q)}$ and $\{X,H,Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \big(\mathbf{d},\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big)$. Then $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$. Recall that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ determines the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ on $L(\theta-1)$, $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$; let (p_θ, q_θ) be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$.

First assume that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $(v_1^\theta, \cdots, v_{t_\theta}^\theta)$ be an ordered $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $L(\theta-1)$ as in Proposition 4.6. It now follows from Proposition 4.6(3)(b) that $(v_1^\theta, \cdots, v_{t_\theta}^\theta)$ is an orthogonal basis for $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ when $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. We also assume that the vectors in the ordered basis $(v_1^\theta, \cdots, v_{t_\theta}^\theta)$ satisfies the properties in Remark 4.9(1). In view of the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$, $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, we may further assume that

$$(v_j^\theta, v_j^\theta)_\theta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le p_\theta \\ -1 & \text{if } p_\theta < j \le t_\theta. \end{cases} \tag{5.24}$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, let $\big\{w_{jl}^\theta \mid 1 \le j \le t_\theta,\, 0 \le l \le \theta-1\big\}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $M(\theta-1)$ as in Lemma 4.10. For each $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, define

$$V^l(\theta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w_{1l}^\theta, \cdots, w_{t_\theta l}^\theta\}\,.$$

The ordered basis $\big(w_{1l}^\theta, \cdots, w_{t_\theta l}^\theta\big)$ of $V^l(\theta)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)$.

Lemma 5.18. *For* $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SO}(p,q) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(V^l(\theta)) \subset V^l(\theta) \ \text{ and} \\ \big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} \text{ for all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}},\, 0 \le l < \theta \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. We omit the proof as it is identical to that of Lemma 5.12. □

We next impose orderings on the sets $\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(\theta) \mid \langle v,v\rangle > 0\}$, $\{v \in \mathcal{C}^l(\theta) \mid \langle v,v\rangle < 0\}$. Define the ordered sets by $\mathcal{C}^l_+(\theta)$, $\mathcal{C}^l_-(\theta)$, $\mathcal{C}^l_+(\zeta)$ and $\mathcal{C}^l_-(\zeta)$ as in (5.16), (5.17), (5.18), (5.19), respectively according as $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ or $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. For all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, set

$$V^l_+(\theta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v \mid v \in \mathcal{C}^l(\theta), \langle v,v\rangle > 0\}, \quad V^l_-(\theta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{v \mid v \in \mathcal{C}^l(\theta), \langle v,v\rangle < 0\}.$$

It is straightforward from (5.24), and the orthogonality relations in Lemma 4.10, that $\mathcal{C}^l_+(\theta)$ and $\mathcal{C}^l_-(\theta)$ are indeed ordered bases of $V^l_+(\theta)$ and $V^l_-(\theta)$, respectively.

In the next lemma we specify a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ which will be used in Proposition 5.21. As before, the notation $(-1)^l$ stands for the sign '+' or the sign '−' according as l is an even or odd integer.

Lemma 5.19. *Suppose that* $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. *Let* K *be the subgroup of* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ *consisting of all* $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ *such that the following hold:*

(1) $g(V^l_+(\theta)) \subset V^l_+(\theta)$ *and* $g(V^l_-(\theta)) \subset V^l_-(\theta)$, *for all* $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ *and* $0 \le l \le \theta-1$.

(2) When $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\left[g|_{V^0_+(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_+(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}_+(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_+(\theta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} & \text{for all } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1, \end{cases}$$

$$\left[g|_{V^0_-(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_-(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\theta)} & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left[g|_{V^{(\theta-1)/2}_-(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\theta-1)/2}_-(\theta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\theta)} & \text{for all } (\theta-1)/2 < l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

(3) When $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\left[g|_{V^0_+(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_+(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)} & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \left[g|_{V^{(\zeta-1)/2}_-(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_-(\zeta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)} & \text{for all } (\zeta-1)/2 < l \le \zeta-1, \end{cases}$$

$$\left[g|_{V^0_-(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^0_-(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^{l+1}}(\zeta)} & \text{for all } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \left[g|_{V^{(\zeta-1)/2}_+(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^{(\zeta-1)/2}_+(\zeta)} & \\ \left[g|_{V^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)}\right]_{\mathcal{C}^l_{(-1)^l}(\zeta)} & \text{for all } (\zeta-1)/2 < l \le \zeta-1. \end{cases}$$

Then K *is a maximal compact subgroup of* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$.

Proof. We omit the proof as it is identical to the proof of Lemma 5.13. □

The following lemma is required in the proof of Theorem 5.26 (2)(iv). Recall that $\mathcal{B}^0(d)$ is an ordered basis of $L(d-1)$ as in (5.1) with $l = 0$ and satisfying Remark 4.9 (1).

Lemma 5.20. *Suppose that* $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = ([1^{p-2}, 2^2], ((m^1_{ij}), (m^2_{ij})))$, *where* (m^1_{ij}) *and* (m^2_{ij}) *are* $(p-2)\times 1$ *and* 2×2 *matrices, respectively, satisfying* $m^1_{i1} = +1$ *with* $1 \le i \le p-2$, $m^2_{i1} = +1$ *with* $1 \le i \le 2$, *and* **Yd**.2. *Let* K *be the subgroup of* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X,H,Y)$ *consisting of all* $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X,H,Y)$ *such that the following hold:*

(1) $g(L(1)) \subset L(1)$, $g(XL(1)) \subset XL(1)$, $\left[g|_{L(1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(2)} = \left[g|_{XL(1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^1(2)}$ *and*
$\left[g|_{L(1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(2)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[g|_{L(1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(2)}$.
(2) $g(L(0)) \subset L(0)$.

Then K *is a maximal compact subgroup of* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X,H,Y)$.

Proof. Note that the form $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_1$ defined as in (4.6) is symmetric on $L(1-1)\times L(1-1)$ with signature $(p-2,0)$, and the form $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_2$ defined as in (4.6) is symplectic on $L(2-1)\times L(2-1)$. Moreover, it follows from Proposition 4.6 that $\mathcal{B}^0(2) = (v_1^2\,;\,v_2^2)$ is a symplectic basis of $L(2-1)$ for $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_2$. Now the lemma follows from Lemma 5.3(4) and Lemma 5.34(1). □

We next introduce some notation which will be needed in Proposition 5.21 and in Proposition 5.22. We assume that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}_+(\theta) := \mathcal{C}_+^0(\theta)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_+^{\theta-1}(\theta) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{C}_-(\theta) := \mathcal{C}_-^0(\theta)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_-^{\theta-1}(\theta)\,.$$

Let $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3$. We enumerate $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^1 = \{\theta_j \mid 1\le j\le\beta\}$ such that $\theta_j < \theta_{j+1}$ and similarly $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^3 = \{\zeta_j \mid 1\le j\le\gamma\}$ such that $\zeta_j < \zeta_{j+1}$. Set

$$\mathcal{O}_+^1 := \mathcal{C}_+(\theta_1)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_+(\theta_\beta)\,;\quad \mathcal{O}_+^3 := \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_1)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_\gamma)\,;$$

$$\mathcal{O}_-^1 := \mathcal{C}_-(\theta_1)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_-(\theta_\beta) \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{O}_-^3 := \mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_1)\vee\cdots\vee\mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_\gamma).$$

Now define

$$\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{O}_+^1\vee\mathcal{O}_+^3,\quad \mathcal{H}_- := \mathcal{O}_-^1\vee\mathcal{O}_-^3 \quad\text{and}\quad \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_+\vee\mathcal{H}_-\,. \tag{5.25}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ is a standard orthogonal basis of V such that $\mathcal{H}_+ = \{v\in\mathcal{H} \mid \langle v,v\rangle = 1\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = \{v\in\mathcal{H} \mid \langle v,v\rangle = -1\}$. In particular, $\#\mathcal{H}_+ = p$ and $\#\mathcal{H}_- = q$. From the definition of $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ as given in (5.25) we have the following relations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}p_{\theta_j}+\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}q_{\theta_j}\big)+\sum_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}p_{\zeta_k}+\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}q_{\zeta_k}\big) = p$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}p_{\theta_j}+\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}q_{\theta_j}\big)+\sum_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}p_{\zeta_k}+\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}q_{\zeta_k}\big) = q.$$

The $\mathbb{R}$-algebra $\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\big)$ is embedded in $\mathrm{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$ and in $\mathrm{M}_q(\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{D}_p\colon \prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\big)\longrightarrow\mathrm{M}_p(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\big(C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)\longmapsto$$

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta}\Big(\big(C_{\theta_j}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\oplus\big(C_{\theta_j}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\Big)\oplus\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma}\Big(\big(E_{\zeta_k}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}}\oplus\big(F_{\zeta_k}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\Big)$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_q\colon \prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{R})\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\times\mathrm{M}_{q_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{R})\big)\longrightarrow\mathrm{M}_q(\mathbb{R})$$

$$\big(C_{\theta_1},D_{\theta_1},\cdots,C_{\theta_\beta},D_{\theta_\beta};E_{\zeta_1},F_{\zeta_1},\cdots,E_{\zeta_\gamma},F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)\longmapsto$$

$$\bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta}\Big(\big(D_{\theta_j}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\oplus D_{\theta_j}\oplus\big(D_{\theta_j}\oplus C_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\Big)\oplus\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma}\Big(\big(F_{\zeta_k}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}}\oplus\big(E_{\zeta_k}\oplus F_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}}\oplus E_{\zeta_k}\Big).$$

Define two characters

$$\chi_p\colon \prod_{j=1}^{\beta}\big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\theta_j}}\times\mathrm{O}_{q_{\theta_j}}\big)\times\prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}\big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\zeta_k}}\times\mathrm{O}_{q_{\zeta_k}}\big)\longrightarrow\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$$

$$\big(C_{\theta_1}, D_{\theta_1}, \cdots, C_{\theta_\beta}, D_{\theta_\beta};\, E_{\zeta_1}, F_{\zeta_1}, \cdots, E_{\zeta_\gamma}, F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big) \longmapsto$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}(\det C_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j+1}{2}} \det D_{\theta_j}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{2}}) \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}(\det E_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}} \det F_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \det C_{\theta_j} \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \det E_{\zeta_k}$$

and

$$\chi_q\colon \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\theta_j}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\theta_j}}\big) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\zeta_k}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\zeta_k}}\big) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

$$\big(C_{\theta_1}, D_{\theta_1}, \cdots, C_{\theta_\beta}, D_{\theta_\beta};\, E_{\zeta_1}, F_{\zeta_1}, \cdots, E_{\zeta_\gamma}, F_{\zeta_\gamma}\big) \longmapsto$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\beta}(\det C_{\theta_i}^{\frac{\theta_i-1}{2}} \det D_{\theta_i}^{\frac{\theta_i+1}{2}}) \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma}(\det E_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{2}} \det F_{\zeta_k}^{\frac{\zeta_k-1}{2}}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \det D_{\theta_j} \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \det F_{\zeta_k}.$$

Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras induced by the ordered basis $\mathcal{H}$ in (5.25). Let M be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ which leaves invariant simultaneously the two subspaces spanned by $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$. Clearly, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(M) = \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$.

Proposition 5.21. *Let $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(p,q)}$, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$. Assume that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple, and let (p_θ, q_θ) be the signature of the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ as defined in (4.6). Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$ as in Lemma 5.19. Then $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K) \subset \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{O}(p) \times \mathrm{O}(q))$ is given by*

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K) = \left\{ \mathbf{D}_p(g) \oplus \mathbf{D}_q(g) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g \in \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\theta_j}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\theta_j}}\big) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\zeta_k}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\zeta_k}}\big) \\ \text{and} \;\; \chi_p(g)\chi_q(g) = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. This follows by writing the matrices of the elements of the maximal compact subgroup K in Lemma 5.19 with respect to the basis $\mathcal{H}$ as in (5.25). □

As the subgroup $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ$ is normal in $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$, so is $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$ in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$. In particular, as K is a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X,H,Y)$, it follows that

$$K_{\mathbb{O}} := K \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X,H,Y) = K \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$. The next proposition gives an explicit description of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}})$ in $\mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$.

Proposition 5.22. *Let $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}(p,q)}$, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$. We assume that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple, and let (p_θ, q_θ) be the signature of the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ as defined in (4.6). Let $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$ as in the preceding paragraph. Then $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}}) \subset \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(q)$ is given by*

$$\left\{ \mathbf{D}_p(g) \oplus \mathbf{D}_q(g) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g \in \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\theta_j}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\theta_j}}\big) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\zeta_k}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\zeta_k}}\big) \\ \text{and} \;\; \chi_p(g) = 1,\; \chi_q(g) = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Moreover, the above group is isomorphic to

$$S\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{O}_{p_{\theta_j}} \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{O}_{p_{\zeta_k}}\Big) \times S\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{O}_{q_{\theta_j}} \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{O}_{q_{\zeta_k}}\Big).$$

Proof. Let V_+ and V_- be the $\mathbb{R}$-spans of $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ respectively. Let M be the maximal compact subgroup in $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)$ which simultaneously leaves the subspaces V_+ and V_- invariant. It is clear that M° is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ$. Hence

$$M^\circ = \mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ \cap M = \{g \in \mathrm{SO}(p,q) \mid \det g|_{V_+} = 1,\ \det g|_{V_-} = 1\}.$$

As $K \subset M$, we have that $K \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ = K \cap M^\circ$. The proposition now follows. □

Set

$\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^- := \{\theta \mid \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, (\cdot,\cdot)_\theta \text{ is negative definite}\}$ and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+ := \{\theta \mid \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, (\cdot,\cdot)_\theta \text{ is positive definite}\}$.

Lemma 5.23. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{even}}(p,q)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ as in the notation of Theorem 5.16). We moreover assume that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$ as in Proposition 5.22. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}$ be the Lie algebra of $K_{\mathbb{O}}$. Then the following hold:*

1. *If $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-) = 1$, $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+) = 1$ and $p_{\theta_1} = q_{\theta_2} = 2$ for $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-$, $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\right]^{K_{\mathbb{O}}/K_{\mathbb{O}}^\circ} = 2$.*
2. *Suppose that either $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-) = 1$, $p_{\theta_1} = 2$ for $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-$, or $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+) = 1$, $q_{\theta_2} = 2$ for $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+$. Moreover, suppose that both the conditions do not hold simultaneously. Then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\right]^{K_{\mathbb{O}}/K_{\mathbb{O}}^\circ} = 1$.*
3. *In all other cases, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\right]^{K_{\mathbb{O}}/K_{\mathbb{O}}^\circ} = 0$.*

Proof. In view of (5.8), (5.9) and Proposition 5.22, the lemma is clear. □

Lemma 5.24. *Let W be a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, and let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$ be a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on W. Let $W_1, W_2 \subset W$ be subspaces such that $W_1 \perp W_2$ and $W = W_1 \oplus W_2$. Let $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2'$ be the restriction of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$ to W_2. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle')^\circ \cap \{g \in \mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') \mid g(W_1) \subset W_1, g(W_2) \subset W_2, g|_{W_1} = \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}\}\\ &= \{g \in \mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') \mid g(W_1) \subset W_1, g(W_2) \subset W_2, g|_{W_1} = \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}, g|_{W_2} \in \mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2')^\circ\}.\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle')^\circ \cap \{g \in \mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') \mid g(W_1) \subset W_1,\ g(W_2) \subset W_2,\ g|_{W_1} = \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}\}$$

is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2')^\circ$.

Proof. Let (p_2, q_2) be the signature of $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2'$. If either $p_2 = 0$ or $q_2 = 0$, then as $\mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2') = \mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2')^\circ$ the lemma follows immediately.

Assumption that $p_2 > 0$ and $q_2 > 0$. In this case, considering an orthogonal basis of W_2 for the form $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2'$ we easily construct a linear map $A : W \longrightarrow W$ such that $A|_{W_1} = \mathrm{Id}_{W_1}$, $A(W_2) \subset W_2$, $(A|_{W_2})^2 = \mathrm{Id}_{W_2}$, and $A|_{W_2} \in \mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2') \setminus \mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2')^\circ$. It is then clear that

$$A \in \mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') \setminus \mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle')^\circ.$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{GL}(W)$ be the subgroup generated by A and $\Gamma' \subset \mathrm{GL}(W_2)$ the subgroup generated by $A|_{W_2}$. It then follows that $\mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') = \Gamma\,\mathrm{SO}(W,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle')^\circ$ and $\mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2') = \Gamma'\,\mathrm{SO}(W_2,\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_2')^\circ$. Now the lemma follows. □

Theorem 5.25. *Let $p \neq 2$ and $q \neq 2$ and $(p,q) \neq (1,1)$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}(p,q)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{even}}(p,q)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ as in the notation of Theorem 5.16). Let $l := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then the following hold:*

(1) *If* $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-) = 1$, $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+) = 1$ *and* $p_{\theta_1} = q_{\theta_2} = 2$ *when* $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-$ *and* $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+$, *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = l + 2$.

(2) *Suppose that either* $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-) = 1$ *and* $p_{\theta_1} = 2$ *for* $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^-$, *or* $\#(\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+) = 1$ *and* $q_{\theta_2} = 2$ *for* $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \setminus \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}^+$. *Moreover, suppose that the above two conditions do not hold simultaneously. Then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = l + 1$.

(3) *In all other cases* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = l$.

Proof. Let $p + q = n$. As the theorem is evident when $X = 0$, we assume that $X \neq 0$.

Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $V := \mathbb{R}^n$ be the right $\mathbb{R}$-vector space of column vectors. We consider V as a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-module via its natural $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$-module structure. Let

$$V_{\mathbb{E}} := \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(\eta - 1); \quad V_{\mathbb{O}} := \bigoplus_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(\theta - 1).$$

Using Lemma 4.4 it follows that $V = V_{\mathbb{E}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{O}}$ is an orthogonal decomposition of V with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{E}} \times V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{O}} \times V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. Let $X_{\mathbb{E}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $X_{\mathbb{O}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{E}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{O}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $Y_{\mathbb{E}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{O}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. Then we have the following natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X, H, Y) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}). \tag{5.26}$$

As, the form $(\cdot, \cdot)_\eta$ on $L(\eta - 1)$ is non-degenerate and symplectic for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, it follows from Lemma 5.3 (4) that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_\eta/2, \mathbb{R}). \tag{5.27}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}})$ is connected, and hence using Lemma 5.24, (5.26) and (5.27) it follows that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X, H, Y) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})^\circ}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}). \tag{5.28}$$

Let $K_{\mathbb{E}}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(t_\eta/2, \mathbb{R})$. Setting $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} := r$, enumerate $\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{a_1, \cdots, a_r\}$ such that $a_i < a_{i+1}$ for all i. We next set $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{O}} := [a_1^{t_{a_1}}, \cdots, a_r^{t_{a_r}}]$. As $\sum_{d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} t_d d = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\mathbb{O}}$, we have $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{O}} \in \mathcal{P}(\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V_{\mathbb{O}})$. We recall that $K_{\mathbb{O}} := K \cap \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X, H, Y) = K \cap \mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X, H, Y)$, where K is the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)}(X, H, Y)$ as in Lemma 5.19. Let $\widetilde{K}$ be the image of $K_{\mathbb{O}} \times K_{\mathbb{E}}$ under the isomorphism in (5.28). It is evident that $\widetilde{K}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X, H, Y)$. Let $\widetilde{M}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$ containing $\widetilde{K}$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the Lie algebras of $\widetilde{K}$ and $\widetilde{M}$ respectively. As $p \neq 2$, $q \neq 2$, we have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}} = [\widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}, \widetilde{\mathfrak{m}}]$. Then using Theorem 5.2 it follows that, for all $X \neq 0$,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq [\mathfrak{z}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}})^*]^{\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K}^\circ}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}$ be the Lie algebras of $K_{\mathbb{E}}, K_{\mathbb{O}}$ respectively. As $K_{\mathbb{E}}$ is connected, in view of (5.9) we conclude that

$$[\mathfrak{z}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}})^*]^{\widetilde{K}/\widetilde{K}^\circ} \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}) \oplus [\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})]^{K_{\mathbb{O}}/K_{\mathbb{O}}^\circ}.$$

From (5.27) we have $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathfrak{u}(t_\eta/2)$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}) = l$. As $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{O}}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}_{\mathbb{O}}}$, we use Lemma 5.23 to compute the dimension of $[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})]^{K_{\mathbb{O}}/K_{\mathbb{O}}^\circ}$. This completes the proof. □

We will next consider the remaining cases which are not covered in Theorem 5.25. These cases are: $(p, q) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$; $p > 2$, $q = 2$ and $p = 2, q > 2$. Recall the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{even}}(p, q)$ given in Section 2.2. If $p > 2$, then we list below the set of signed Young diagrams $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\mathrm{even}}(p, 2)$ which correspond to non-zero nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(p, 2)$.

a.1 $\big([1^{p-1},3^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^3_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are $(p-1)\times 1$ and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le p-1$; $m^3_{i1}=+1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

a.2 $\big([1^{p-1},3^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^3_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are $(p-1)\times 1$ and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le p-2$, $m^1_{i1}=-1, i=p-1$; $m^3_{i1}=-1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

a.3 $\big([1^{p-3},5^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^5_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^5_{ij}) are $(p-3)\times 1$ and 1×5 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le p-3$; $m^5_{i1}=+1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

a.4 $\big([1^{p-2},2^2],((m^1_{ij}),(m^2_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^2_{ij}) are $(p-2)\times 1$ and 2×2 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le p-2$; $m^2_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le 2$ and **Yd**.2.

Similarly as above, if $q>2$, then set $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\text{even}}(2,q)$ consists of four elements which correspond to non-zero nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}(2,q)$. These are listed below:

b.1 $\big([1^{q-1},3^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^3_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are $(q-1)\times 1$ and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=-1, 1\le i\le q-1$; $m^3_{i1}=-1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

b.2 $\big([1^{q-1},3^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^3_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are $(q-1)\times 1$ and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=+1, i=1$, $m^1_{i1}=-1, 2\le i\le q-1$; $m^3_{i1}=+1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

b.3 $\big([1^{q-3},5^1],((m^1_{ij}),(m^5_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^5_{ij}) are $(q-3)\times 1$ and 1×5 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=-1, 1\le i\le q-3$; $m^5_{i1}=-1, i=1$ and **Yd**.2.

b.4 $\big([1^{q-2},2^2],((m^1_{ij}),(m^2_{ij}))\big)$, where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^2_{ij}) are $(q-2)\times 1$ and 2×2 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1}=-1, 1\le i\le q-2$; $m^2_{i1}=+1, 1\le i\le 2$ and **Yd**.2.

Theorem 5.26. *Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}:\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ)\longrightarrow\mathcal{Y}_1^{\text{even}}(p,q)$ be the parametrization in Theorem 5.16. Let $\mathcal{O}_X\in\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ)$. Then the following hold:*

(1) Suppose $(p,q)\in\{(2,1),(1,2),(2,2)\}$, then $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.
(2) Assume that $p>2$, $q=2$.
*(i) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.1), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.*
*(ii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.2), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p=4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$*
*(iii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.3), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.*
*(iv) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.4), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p=4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$*
(3) Assume $p=2$ and $q>2$.
*(i) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**b**.1), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.*
*(ii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**b**.2), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } q=4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$*
*(iii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**b**.3), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.*
*(iv) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**b**.4), then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } q=4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$*

Proof. As $X\neq 0$, we may assume that X lies in a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple, say $\{X,H,Y\}$, in $\mathfrak{so}(p,q)$.

Proof of (1): Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ$. As $(p,q)\in\{(2,1),(1,2),(2,2)\}$, we have $[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]=0$. Thus using Theorem 5.2 it follows that $H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R})=0$.

Proof of (2): As $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ in each of the cases (i), (ii) and (iii), we will use Proposition 5.22. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X,H,Y)$ as given in Lemma 5.19. Let M be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,2)$ which leaves invariant simultaneously the two subspaces spanned by $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$, where $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ are as in (5.25) with $q=2$. Then $M^\circ = M \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,2)$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ$. Recall that

$$K_{\mathbb{O}} := K \cap M^\circ = K \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ$$

is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$. Then, in the notation of Proposition 5.22, $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}}) \subset \mathrm{SO}(p)\times\mathrm{SO}(2)$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be the Lie algebras of $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ and M° respectively.

We now prove (i) of (2). Suppose $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.1). Using Proposition 5.22 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}}) &= \big\{\mathbf{D}_p(g)\oplus\mathbf{D}_q(g) \;\big|\; g\in \mathrm{O}_{p-1}\times\mathrm{O}_1,\ \chi_p(g)=1,\chi_q(g)=1\big\}\\ &= \Big\{C\oplus E\bigoplus E\oplus E \;\Big|\; C\in\mathrm{O}_{p-1}, E\in\mathrm{O}_1,\ \det C\det E=1\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

Therefore, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{so}_2$ when $p=3$, and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})=0$ when $p>3$. From (5.29) it follows that $K_{\mathbb{O}} \simeq S(\mathrm{O}_2\times\mathrm{O}_1)$ when $p=3$. Since $\mathrm{O}_2/\mathrm{SO}_2$ acts non-trivially on $\mathfrak{so}_2$, when $p=3$ we have $\big[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]\big]^{K/K^\circ} = 0$. Thus using Theorem 5.2,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R}) = 0$$

for all $p>2$.

We next give a proof of (ii) of (2). Assume that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.2). Using Proposition 5.22 and notation therein,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}}) &= \big\{\mathbf{D}_p(g)\oplus\mathbf{D}_q(g) \;\big|\; g\in \mathrm{O}_{p-2}\times\mathrm{O}_1\times\mathrm{O}_1,\ \chi_p(g)=1,\chi_q(g)=1\big\}\\ &= \Big\{C\oplus F\oplus F\bigoplus D\oplus F \;\Big|\; C\in\mathrm{O}_{p-2}; D,F\in\mathrm{O}_1;\ \det C=1, \det D\det F=1\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

It is clear from above that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{so}_2$ when $p=4$ and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})=0$ when $p\neq 4$, $p>2$. When $p=4$, then $K_{\mathbb{O}} \simeq \mathrm{SO}_2\times S(\mathrm{O}_1\times\mathrm{O}_1)$ from (5.30). As SO_2 acts trivially on $\mathfrak{so}_2$, using Theorem 5.2 we conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p=4\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

We now give a proof of (iii) of (2). Assume that $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.3). Using Proposition 5.22 and notation therein,

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(K_{\mathbb{O}}) &= \big\{\mathbf{D}_p(g)\oplus\mathbf{D}_q(g) \;\big|\; g\in \mathrm{O}_{p-3}\times\mathrm{O}_1,\ \chi_p(g)=1,\chi_q(g)=1\big\}\\ &= \Big\{C\oplus E\oplus E\oplus E\bigoplus E\oplus E \;\Big|\; C\in\mathrm{O}_{p-3}, E\in\mathrm{O}_1,\ \det C\det E=1\Big\}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

Therefore, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{so}_2$ when $p=5$, and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})=0$ for $p>2, p\neq 5$. It follows from (5.31) that $K_{\mathbb{O}} \simeq S(\mathrm{O}_2\times\mathrm{O}_1)$ when $p=5$. Since $\mathrm{O}_2/\mathrm{SO}_2$ acts non-trivially on $\mathfrak{so}_2$, in the case when $p=5$ we have $\big[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}})\cap[\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]\big]^{K/K^\circ} = 0$. Thus in view of Theorem 5.2,

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\mathbb{R}) = 0$$

for all $p>2$.

We now give a proof of (iv) of (2). Let $n = p+2$. Suppose $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (**a**.4). We need to construct a standard orthogonal basis as done before. We follow the notation as in Lemma 5.20. Define, $\mathcal{A}_+(2) := \big((v_1^2+Xv_2^2)/\sqrt{2}, (v_2^2-Xv_1^2)/\sqrt{2}\big)$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_-(2) := \big((v_1^2-Xv_2^2)/\sqrt{2}, (v_2^2+Xv_1^2)/\sqrt{2}\big)\,.$$

Finally set $\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{B}^0(1) \vee \mathcal{A}_+(2)$, $\mathcal{H}_- := \mathcal{A}_-(2)$ and $\mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_+ \vee \mathcal{H}_-$. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ is a standard orthogonal basis of V such that

$$\mathcal{H}_+ = \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle v, v\rangle = 1\}$$

and $\mathcal{H}_- = \{v \in \mathcal{H} \mid \langle v, v\rangle = -1\}$. In particular, $\#\mathcal{H}_+ = p$ and $\#\mathcal{H}_- = 2$. Let $V_+(2)$, $V_-(2)$ be the spans of $\mathcal{A}_+(2)$, $\mathcal{A}_-(2)$ respectively. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X, H, Y)$ as in Lemma 5.20. We observe that if $g \in K$, then $g(V_+(2)) \subset V_+(2)$, $g(V_-(2)) \subset V_-(2)$ and

$$\big[g|_{V_+(2)}\big]_{\mathcal{A}_+(2)} = \big[g|_{V_-(2)}\big]_{\mathcal{A}_-(2)} = \big[g|_{L(1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(2)}.$$

Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras induced by the above ordered basis $\mathcal{H}$. Let M be the maximal compact subgroup in $\mathrm{SO}(p,2)$ which simultaneously leaves the subspaces spanned by $\mathcal{H}_+$ and $\mathcal{H}_-$ invariant. Then $M^\circ = M \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ$, and $\widetilde{K} := K \cap M^\circ$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(X, H, Y)$. We have the following explicit description of $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{K}) \subset \mathrm{SO}(p) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$:

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}(\widetilde{K}) = \Big\{A \oplus B \bigoplus B \;\Big|\; A \in \mathrm{O}_{p-2}, B \in \mathrm{O}_2;\ \det A \det B = 1 \text{ and } \det B = 1\Big\}. \tag{5.32}$$

In particular, $\widetilde{K} \simeq \mathrm{SO}_{p-2} \times \mathrm{SO}_2$. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be the Lie algebras of $\widetilde{K}$ and M° respectively. From (5.32),

$$\mathfrak{z}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}) \cap [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{so}_2 & \text{if } p = 4 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

As $\widetilde{K}$ is connected, the conclusion follows from Theorem 5.2. This completes the proof of (2).

The proofs of (3)(i), (3)(ii), (3)(iii) and (3)(iv) are similar to those of (2)(i), (2)(ii), (2)(iii) and (2)(iv) respectively and hence the details are omitted. □

5.5. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$.** Let n be a positive integer. In this subsection we compute the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$. Throughout this subsection $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the skew-Hermitian form on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined by $\langle x, y\rangle := \overline{x}^t \mathbf{j} \mathrm{I}_n y$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

We first need to describe a suitable parametrization of the nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the parametrization as in Theorem 5.8. As $\mathrm{SO}^*(2n) \subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ (consequently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})}$) we have the obvious natural maps $\Theta_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}))$, and

$$\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)} := \Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})} \circ \Theta_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n).$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a non-zero nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.6, Remark 4.9(3), and follow the notation used therein. Let V be $\mathbb{H}^n$, the right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space of column vectors. Let $\{d_1, \cdots, d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the ordered finite set of natural numbers that arise as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X, H, Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(d_r - 1)$, $1 \le r \le s$. Then $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}}, \cdots, d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}(n)$, and moreover, $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$.

To each $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}^*(2n))$ assign $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)$; see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)$. For each $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ we will define a $t_d \times d$ matrix (m^d_{ij}) in $\mathbf{A}_d$ that depends only on the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$. For this recall that the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d : L(d-1) \times L(d-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{H}$ defined in (4.6)

is skew-Hermitian or Hermitian according as d is odd or even. Let (p_η, q_η) be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$ when $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define,

$$m^\theta_{i1} := +1 \qquad \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le t_\theta, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}};$$
$$m^\eta_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ 1 \le i \le p_\eta \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p_\eta < i \le t_\eta \end{cases}, \ \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}};$$

and for $j > 1$ we define (m^d_{ij}) as in (5.12) and (5.13). Then the matrices (m^d_{ij}) clearly verify (**Yd**.2). Set $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} := ((m^{d_1}_{ij}), \cdots, (m^{d_s}_{ij}))$. It now follows from the above definitions of m^θ_{i1}, $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(n)$. Thus we have the map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\text{odd}}(n), \ \ \mathcal{O}_X \longmapsto \left(\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X), \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\right).$$

The following theorem is standard.

Theorem 5.27 ([CoMc, Theorem 9.3.4])**.** *The above map $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}$ is a bijection.*

Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)}$ and $\{X, H, Y\}$ a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \left(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\right)$. Then $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$. Recall that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ determines the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$ on $L(\eta-1)$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$; let (p_η, q_η) be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$ on $L(\eta-1)$. Let $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ be an ordered $\mathbb{H}$-basis of $L(d-1)$ as in Proposition 4.6. It now follows from Proposition 4.6(3)(a) that $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ is an orthogonal basis of $L(d-1)$ for the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d$ for all $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$. We also assume that the vectors in the ordered basis $(v^d_1, \cdots, v^d_{t_d})$ satisfy the properties in Remark 4.9(3). Since $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ is skew-Hermitian for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, using Lemma 2.6, we may assume that $(v^\theta_1, \cdots, v^\theta_{t_\theta})$ is a standard orthogonal basis for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Thus

$$(v^\theta_j, v^\theta_j)_\theta = \mathbf{j} \quad \text{for all } \ 1 \le j \le t_\theta, \, \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}. \tag{5.33}$$

In view of the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$, $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, we may assume that

$$(v^\eta_j, v^\eta_j)_\eta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \le j \le p_\eta \\ -1 & \text{if } p_\eta < j \le t_\eta. \end{cases} \tag{5.34}$$

For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $1 \le r \le p_\eta$, define

$$w^\eta_{rl} := \begin{cases} \left(X^l v^\eta_r + X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l \le \eta/2 - 1 \\ \left(X^l v^\eta_r + X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l \le \eta/2 - 1 \\ \left(X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r - X^l v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta - 1 \\ \left(X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r - X^l v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta - 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.35}$$

Similarly for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $p_\eta < r \le t_\eta$, define

$$w^\eta_{rl} := \begin{cases} \left(X^l v^\eta_r + X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l \le \eta/2 - 1 \\ \left(X^l v^\eta_r + X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l \le \eta/2 - 1 \\ \left(X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r - X^l v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta - 1 \\ \left(X^{\eta-1-l} v^\eta_r - X^l v^\eta_r \mathbf{j}\right)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } \eta/2 \le l \le \eta - 1. \end{cases} \tag{5.36}$$

Using (5.34) we observe that for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\{w^\eta_{rl} \mid 0 \le l \le \eta - 1, \ 1 \le r \le t_\eta\}$$

is an orthogonal basis of $M(\eta-1)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$, where $\langle w^\eta_{rl},\, w^\eta_{rl}\rangle = \mathbf{j}$ for $0 \le l \le \eta-1$, $1 \le r \le t_\eta$. For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \le l \le \eta/2-1$, set

$$W^l(\eta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{w^\eta_{r\,l}, w^\eta_{r\,\eta-1-l} \mid 1 \le r \le t_\eta\}. \tag{5.37}$$

Moreover, we define a standard orthogonal basis $\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)$ of $W^l(\eta)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^l(\eta) := \begin{cases} \big(w^\eta_{1\,l},\cdots,w^\eta_{p_\eta\,l}\big) \vee \big(w^\eta_{1\,(\eta-1-l)},\cdots,w^\eta_{p_\eta\,(\eta-1-l)}\big) \\ \bigvee \big(w^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,(\eta-1-l)},\cdots,w^\eta_{t_\eta\,(\eta-1-l)}\big) \vee \big(w^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,l},\cdots,w^\eta_{t_\eta\,l}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \\ \big(w^\eta_{1\,(\eta-1-l)},\cdots,w^\eta_{p_\eta\,(\eta-1-l)}\big) \vee \big(w^\eta_{1\,l},\cdots,w^\eta_{p_\eta\,l}\big) \\ \bigvee \big(w^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,l},\cdots,w^\eta_{t_\eta\,l}\big) \vee \big(w^\eta_{(p_\eta+1)\,(\eta-1-l)},\cdots,w^\eta_{t_\eta\,(\eta-1-l)}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.38}$$

Now fixing $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, for all $1 \le r \le t_\theta$, define

$$w^\theta_{rl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\theta_r + X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_r\big)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^l v^\theta_r + X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_r\big)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v^\theta_r & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_r - X^l v^\theta_r\big)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1 \\ \big(X^{\theta-1-l}v^\theta_r - X^l v^\theta_r\big)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

For all $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $1 \le r \le t_\zeta$, define

$$w^\zeta_{rl} := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v^\zeta_r + X^{\zeta-1-l}v^\zeta_r\big)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^l v^\zeta_r + X^{\zeta-1-l}v^\zeta_r\big)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ X^l v^\zeta_r\mathbf{i} & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big(X^{\zeta-1-l}v^\zeta_r - X^l v^\zeta_r\big)/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1 \\ \big(X^{\zeta-1-l}v^\zeta_r - X^l v^\zeta_r\big)\mathbf{i}/\sqrt{2} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1. \end{cases}$$

Using (5.33) we observe that for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$\{w^\theta_{rl} \mid 0 \le l \le \theta-1,\ 1 \le r \le t_\theta\}$$

is an orthogonal basis of $M(\theta-1)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$, where $\langle w^\theta_{rl},\, w^\theta_{rl}\rangle = \mathbf{j}$ for $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, $1 \le r \le t_\theta$. For each $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, set

$$V^l(\theta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{w^\theta_{rl} \mid 1 \le r \le t_\theta\}. \tag{5.39}$$

The standard orthogonal ordered basis $(w^\theta_{1l},\cdots,w^\theta_{t_\theta l})$ of $V^l(\theta)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)$.

Let W be a right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space and $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle'$ a non-degenerate skew-Hermitian form on W. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{H}} W = m$, and let $\mathcal{B}' := (v_1,\cdots,v_m)$ be a standard orthogonal basis of W such that $\langle v_r,\, v_r\rangle' = \mathbf{j}$ for all $1 \le r \le m$. Define

$$\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'} : W \longrightarrow W,\ \sum_r v_r z_r \longmapsto \sum_r v_r \mathbf{j} z_r$$

for all column vectors $(z_1,\cdots,z_m)^t \in \mathbb{H}^m$. Set

$$K_{\mathcal{B}'} := \big\{g \in \mathrm{SO}^*(W,\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle') \;\big|\; g\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'} = \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'}g\big\}.$$

The following lemma is standard; its proof is omitted.

Lemma 5.28. *Let W, $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle'$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ be as above. Then the following hold:*

(1) $K_{\mathcal{B}'}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^*(W,\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle')$.

(2) $K_{\mathcal{B}'} = \big\{g \in \mathrm{SL}(W) \;\big|\; [g]_{\mathcal{B}'} = A + \mathbf{j}B \;\; \textit{with} \;\; A, B \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R}), A + \sqrt{-1}B \in \mathrm{U}(m)\big\}$.

Recall that $\big\{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{H}}W \mid x\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'} = \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'}x\big\} = \big\{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{H}}W \;\big|\; [x]_{\mathcal{B}'} \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R}) + \mathbf{j}\mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R})\big\}$. We now consider the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra isomorphism

$$\Lambda'_{\mathcal{B}'} : \big\{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{H}}W \mid x\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'} = \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{B}'}x\big\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}), \;\; x \longmapsto A + \sqrt{-1}B, \tag{5.40}$$

where A, $B \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R})$ are the unique elements such that $[x]_{\mathcal{B}} = A + \mathbf{j}B$. In view of the above lemma it is clear that $\Lambda'_{\mathcal{B}'}(K_{\mathcal{B}'}) = \mathrm{U}(m)$, and hence $\Lambda'_{\mathcal{B}'} : K_{\mathcal{B}'} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(m)$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups.

In the next lemma we specify a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ which will be used in Proposition 5.30. Recall that $\overline{Z} := (\sigma_c(z_{rl})) \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{H})$; see Section 2.1.

Lemma 5.29. *Let K be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ consisting of elements g in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) $g\big(V^l(\theta)\big) \subset V^l(\theta)$ *for all* $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ *and* $0 \le l \le \theta - 1$.

(2) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, *there exist* $A_\theta, B_\theta \in \mathrm{M}_{t_\theta}(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $A_\theta + \sqrt{-1}B_\theta \in \mathrm{U}(t_\theta)$ *such that*

$$\big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \begin{cases} A_\theta + \mathbf{j}B_\theta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ A_\theta - \mathbf{j}B_\theta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ A_\theta + \mathbf{j}B_\theta & \textit{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ A_\theta + \mathbf{j}B_\theta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is odd, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1 \\ A_\theta - \mathbf{j}B_\theta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is even, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

(3) For all $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, *there exist* $A_\zeta, B_\zeta \in \mathrm{M}_{t_\zeta}(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $A_\zeta + \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta \in \mathrm{U}(t_\zeta)$ *such that*

$$\big[g|_{V^l(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} A_\zeta + \mathbf{j}B_\zeta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ A_\zeta - \mathbf{j}B_\zeta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ A_\zeta - \mathbf{j}B_\zeta & \textit{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ A_\zeta + \mathbf{j}B_\zeta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is odd, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1 \\ A_\zeta - \mathbf{j}B_\zeta & \textit{if } l \textit{ is even, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1. \end{cases}$$

(4) $g(W^l(\eta)) \subset W^l(\eta)$ *for all* $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ *and* $0 \le l \le \eta/2 - 1$.

(5) For all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *there exist* $A_{p_\eta}, B_{p_\eta}, C_{p_\eta}, D_{p_\eta} \in \mathrm{M}_{p_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$, $A'_{q_\eta}, B'_{q_\eta}, C'_{q_\eta}, D'_{q_\eta} \in \mathrm{M}_{q_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ *with* $A_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta}) \in \mathrm{Sp}(p_\eta)$ *and* $A'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta}) \in \mathrm{Sp}(q_\eta)$ *such that*

$$\big[g|_{W^l(\eta)}\big]_{\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} & -C_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta} & & \\ C_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta} & A_{p_\eta} - \mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} & & \\ & & A'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} & -C'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta} \\ & & C'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta} & A'_{q_\eta} - \mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}^l(d) = (X^l v_1^d, \cdots, X^l v_{t_d}^d)$ be the ordered basis of $X^l L(d-1)$ for $0 \le l \le d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$, as in (5.1). Let K' be the subgroup consisting of all $g \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ satisfying

the following properties:

$$\text{For } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l \le \theta-1,\ g\big(V^l(\theta)\big) \subset\ V^l(\theta), \tag{5.41}$$

$$\text{For all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}},\ \big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \overline{\big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1 \\ \overline{\big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1, \end{cases} \tag{5.42}$$

$$\text{For all } \zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}},\ \big[g|_{V^l(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \overline{\big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)}} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \overline{\big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)}} & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1 \\ \overline{\big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)}} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1, \end{cases} \tag{5.43}$$

$$g|_{V^0(\theta)} \text{ commutes with } \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}, \tag{5.44}$$

$$g\big(X^lL(\eta-1)\big) \subset X^lL(\eta-1),\ \big[g|_{X^lL(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)} = \big[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)} \text{ if } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l \le \eta-1; \tag{5.45}$$

$$g(W^l(\eta)) \subset W^l(\eta) \text{ for } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l \le \eta/2-1, \text{ and } g|_{W^0(\eta)} \text{ commutes with } \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}. \tag{5.46}$$

Using Lemma 5.28(1) it is evident that K' is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X,H,Y)$. Hence to prove the lemma it suffices to show that $K = K'$. Let $g \in \mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$. From Lemma 5.28(2) it is straightforward that g satisfies (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 5.29 if and only if g satisfies (5.41), (5.42), (5.43) and (5.44). Now suppose that $g \in \mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$ and g satisfying (4), (5) of Lemma 5.29. It is clear that (5.46) holds. We observe that

$$\big[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta}) & 0 \\ 0 & A'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves that (5.45) holds.

Now we assume that g satisfies (5.45) and (5.46). Let $A := \big[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)}$. Then $A = \big[g|_{X^lL(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)}$ for $1 \le l \le \eta-1$. We observe that

$$\big[\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)\vee\mathcal{B}^{\eta-1}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} & \mathrm{I}_{p_\eta,q_\eta} \\ -\mathrm{I}_{p_\eta,q_\eta} & \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \big[g|_{W^0(\eta)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)\vee\mathcal{B}^{\eta-1}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (5.46) it follows that the above two matrices commute, which in turn implies that A commutes with $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I}_{p_\eta} & \\ & -\mathrm{I}_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix}$. Thus A is of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} E_{p_\eta} & 0 \\ 0 & F_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix}$ for some matrices $E_{p_\eta} \in \mathrm{GL}_{p_\eta}(\mathbb{H})$ and $F_{q_\eta} \in \mathrm{GL}_{q_\eta}(\mathbb{H})$. Write $E_{p_\eta} = A_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C_{p_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta})$ and $F_{q_\eta} = A'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{i}(C'_{q_\eta} + \mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta})$ where $A_{p_\eta}, B_{p_\eta}, C_{p_\eta}, D_{p_\eta} \in \mathrm{M}_{p_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$, $A'_{q_\eta}, B'_{q_\eta}, C'_{q_\eta}, D'_{q_\eta} \in \mathrm{M}_{q_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$. We now observe

that

$$\big[g|_{W^l(\eta)}\big]_{\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{p_\eta}+\mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} & -C_{p_\eta}+\mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta} & & \\ C_{p_\eta}+\mathbf{j}D_{p_\eta} & A_{p_\eta}-\mathbf{j}B_{p_\eta} & & \\ & & A'_{q_\eta}+\mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} & -C'_{q_\eta}+\mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta} \\ & & C'_{q_\eta}+\mathbf{j}D'_{q_\eta} & A'_{q_\eta}-\mathbf{j}B'_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)$ is defined as in (5.38).

Recall that $M(\eta-1)=\bigoplus_{l=0}^{\eta/2} W^l(\eta)$ is an orthogonal decomposition of $M(\eta-1)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$; see (5.37) and the paragraph preceding it. As $\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)$ is a standard orthogonal basis of $W^0(\eta)$, and $g|_{W^0(\eta)}$ commutes with $\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}$, it follows that $\Lambda'_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}(g|_{W^0(\eta)}) \in \mathrm{U}(2t_\eta)$. In other words,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{p_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}B_{p_\eta} & -C_{p_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}D_{p_\eta} & & \\ C_{p_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}D_{p_\eta} & A_{p_\eta}-\sqrt{-1}B_{p_\eta} & & \\ & & A'_{q_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}B'_{q_\eta} & -C'_{q_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}D'_{q_\eta} \\ & & C'_{q_\eta}+\sqrt{-1}D'_{q_\eta} & A'_{q_\eta}-\sqrt{-1}B'_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{U}(2t_\eta).$$

This implies that $E_{p_\eta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(p_\eta)$ and $F_{q_\eta} \in \mathrm{Sp}(q_\eta)$ and (5) of lemma (5.29) holds. This completes the proof. □

We now introduce some notation which will be required to state Proposition 5.30. For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, set

$$\mathcal{D}(\eta) := \mathcal{D}^0(\eta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}^{\eta/2-1}(\eta)\,,$$

and for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, set

$$\mathcal{C}(\theta) := \mathcal{C}^0(\theta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}^{\theta-1}(\theta).$$

Let $\alpha := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. We enumerate $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\eta_i \mid 1 \le i \le \alpha\}$ such that $\eta_i < \eta_{i+1}$, $\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\theta_j \mid 1 \le j \le \beta\}$ such that $\theta_j < \theta_{j+1}$ and similarly $\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\zeta_j \mid 1 \le j \le \gamma\}$ such that $\zeta_j < \zeta_{j+1}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{D}(\eta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}(\eta_\alpha);\ \mathcal{O}^1 := \mathcal{C}(\theta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}(\theta_\beta);\ \text{and}\ \ \mathcal{O}^3 := \mathcal{C}(\zeta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}(\zeta_\gamma).$$

Also define

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{E} \vee \mathcal{O}^1 \vee \mathcal{O}^3. \tag{5.47}$$

For an integer m define the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra embedding

$$\wp_{m,\mathbb{H}} : \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{H}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})\,, \quad R \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} S & -\overline{T} \\ T & \overline{S} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S, T \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C})$ are the unique elements such that $R = S + \mathbf{j}T$. The following map is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra embedding of $\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{H})\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C})$ into $\mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Define

$$\mathbf{D}\colon \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha}\left(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{H}) \times \mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{H})\right) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\theta_j}}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\zeta_k}}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}\big(C_{\eta_1}, D_{\eta_1}, \cdots, C_{\eta_\alpha}, D_{\eta_\alpha};\, A_{\theta_1}, \cdots, A_{\theta_\beta};\; B_{\zeta_1}, \cdots, B_{\zeta_\gamma}\big)&\\ \longmapsto \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha} \Big(\wp_{p_{\eta_i},\mathbb{H}}(C_{\eta_i}) \oplus \wp_{q_{\eta_i},\mathbb{H}}(D_{\eta_i})\Big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\eta}{2}}&\\ \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta} \Big(\big(A_{\theta_j} \oplus \overline{A}_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}} \oplus A_{\theta_j} \oplus \big(A_{\theta_j} \oplus \overline{A}_{\theta_j}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}}\Big)&\\ \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma} \Big(\big(B_{\zeta_k} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}} \oplus \big(B_{\zeta_k} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k}\big)_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k}\Big).&\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{H}$ in (5.47) is a standard orthogonal basis of V with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let

$$\Lambda'_{\mathcal{H}} : \{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{H}}\mathbb{H}^n \mid x\mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathrm{J}_{\mathcal{H}}x\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras induced by the above ordered basis $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that $\Lambda'_{\mathcal{H}} : K_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(n)$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups.

Proposition 5.30. *Let $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)}$, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \big(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big)$. Let $\alpha := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple; let (p_η, q_η) be the signature of the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$, for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, as defined in (4.6). Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ as in Lemma 5.29. Then $\Lambda'_{\mathcal{H}}(K) \subset \mathrm{U}(n)$ is given by*

$$\Lambda'_{\mathcal{H}}(K) = \left\{ \mathbf{D}(g) \;\middle|\; g \in \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \big(\mathrm{Sp}(p_{\eta_i}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(q_{\eta_i})\big) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{U}(t_{\theta_j}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{U}(t_{\zeta_k}) \right\}.$$

Proof. This follows by writing the matrices of the elements of the maximal compact subgroup K with respect to the basis $\mathcal{H}$ in (5.47). □

Theorem 5.31. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}(n)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ in the notation of Theorem 5.27). Let $l := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ l - 1 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As the theorem is evident when $X = 0$, we assume that $X \neq 0$.

In the proof we will use the notation established above. Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(X, H, Y)$ as in Lemma 5.29. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be as in (5.47), and let $K_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)$ as in the Lemma 5.28(1). Then $K \subset K_{\mathcal{H}}$. It follows either from Proposition 5.30 or from Lemma 5.3(4) that

$$K \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \big(\mathrm{Sp}(p_\eta) \times \mathrm{Sp}(q_\eta)\big) \times \prod_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{U}(t_\theta).$$

In particular, K is connected and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = l$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the Lie algebra of $K_{\mathcal{H}}$. We now appeal to Proposition 5.30 to conclude that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l = 0$, and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \not\subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l > 0$. As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1$, in view of Theorem 5.2 we have that for all $X \neq 0$,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ l - 1 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

5.6. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$.** Let n be a positive integer. In this subsection we compute the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Throughout this subsection $\langle\cdot,\,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ defined by $\langle x,y\rangle := x^t \mathrm{J}_n y$, $x,\,y\,\in\,\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, where J_n is as in (2.1).

Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(2n)$ be the parametrization of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$; see Theorem 5.6. As $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ (consequently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})}$) there is a natural map $\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$. Let

$$\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})} := \Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \circ \Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(2n)$$

be the composition. From Remark 4.9(1) it follows that $\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R}))) \subset \mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n)$. Let $0 \neq X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element and $\mathcal{O}_X$ the corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Let $\{X,\,H,\,Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.6, Remark 4.9 (1), and follow the notation used therein. Let V be the right $\mathbb{R}$-vector space $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of column vectors. Let $\{d_1,\,\cdots,\,d_s\}$, with $d_1 < \cdots < d_s$, be the ordered finite set of natural numbers that arise as dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X,H,Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(d_r - 1)$ for $1 \leq r \leq s$. Then $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}},\,\cdots,\,d_s^{t_{d_s}}] \in \mathcal{P}_{-1}(2n)$, and moreover, $\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbf{d}$.

We next assign $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(2n)$ to each $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R}))$; see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(2n)$. Recall that the form $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_d\colon L(d-1)\times L(d-1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (4.6) is symmetric or symplectic according as d is even or odd. Let $(p_\eta,\,q_\eta)$ be the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_\eta$, $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Define a $t_d \times d$ matrix (m^d_{ij}) in $\mathbf{A}_d$:

$$m^\theta_{i1} := +1 \qquad \text{if } \ 1 \leq i \leq t_\theta, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}};$$

$$m^\eta_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ 1 \leq i \leq p_\eta \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p_\eta < i \leq t_\eta \end{cases}, \ \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}\,;$$

and for $j > 1$, define (m^d_{ij}) as in (5.12) and (5.13). Then the matrices m^d_{ij} clearly verify (**Yd**.2). Set $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} := ((m^{d_1}_{ij}),\,\cdots,\,(m^{d_s}_{ij}))$. It now follows from the above definition of $m^\theta_{ij}, \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{\mathbf{d}}(2n)$. Thus we have a map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}(2n)\,, \quad \mathcal{O}_X \longmapsto \left(\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X),\, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\right).$$

Theorem 5.32 ([CoMc, Theorem 9.3.5])**.** *The above map $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}$ is a bijection.*

Let $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}$ and $\{X,H,Y\}$ a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d},\,\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$. Recall that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}$ determines the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_\eta$ on $L(\eta-1)$ for all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$; let $(p_\eta,\,q_\eta)$ be the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_\eta$ on $L(\eta-1)$. Let $(v^d_1,\,\cdots,\,v^d_{t_d})$ be a $\mathbb{R}$-basis of $L(d-1)$ as in Proposition 4.6. It now follows from Proposition 4.6(3)(c) that $(v^\eta_1,\,\cdots,\,v^\eta_{t_\eta})$ is an orthogonal basis of $L(\eta-1)$ for the form $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_\eta$. We also assume that the vectors in the basis $(v^d_1,\,\cdots,\,v^d_{t_d})$ satisfy properties in Remark 4.9(1). In view of the signature of $(\cdot,\,\cdot)_\eta$, we may further assume that

$$(v^\eta_j, v^\eta_j)_\eta = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq p_\eta \\ -1 & \text{if } p_\eta < j \leq t_\eta \end{cases}; \ \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}. \tag{5.48}$$

For all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, as $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)_\theta$ is a symplectic form, we may assume that $(v^\theta_1,\,\cdots,\,v^\theta_{t_\theta/2}\,;\,v^\theta_{t_\theta/2+1},\,\cdots,\,v^\theta_{t_\theta})$ is a symplectic basis of $L(\theta-1)$; see Section 2.1 for the definition of a symplectic basis. This is equivalent to saying that, for all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$,

$$(v^\theta_j, v^\theta_{t_\theta/2+j})_\theta = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq t_\theta/2 \text{ and } (v^\theta_j, v^\theta_i)_\theta = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j + t_\theta/2. \tag{5.49}$$

Now fixing $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, for all $1 \leq j \leq t_\theta$, define

$$w^\theta_{jl} := \begin{cases} \left(X^l v^\theta_j + X^{\theta-1-l} v^\theta_j\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ X^l v^\theta_j & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left(X^{\theta-1-l} v^\theta_j - X^l v^\theta_j\right)\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \text{if } (\theta-1)/2 < l \leq \theta-1. \end{cases} \tag{5.50}$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \leq l \leq \theta-1$, set

$$V^l(\theta) := \mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{w^\theta_{jl} \mid 1 \leq j \leq t_\theta\}. \tag{5.51}$$

The ordered basis $\left(w^\theta_{1l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta l}\right)$ of $V^l(\theta)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{A}^l(\theta)$. Let $\mathcal{B}^l(d) = (X^l v^d_1, \cdots, X^l v^d_{t_d})$ be the ordered basis of $X^l L(d-1)$ for $0 \leq l \leq d-1$, $d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ as in (5.1).

Lemma 5.33. *The following holds:*

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y) = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R}) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} g(V^l(\theta)) \subset V^l(\theta) \text{ and} \\ \left[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{A}^l(\theta)} = \left[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\right]_{\mathcal{A}^0(\theta)} \text{for all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \leq l < \theta\ ; \\ g(X^l L(\eta-1)) \subset X^l L(\eta-1) \text{ and} \\ \left[g|_{X^l L(\eta-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)} = \left[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)} \text{for all } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \leq l < \eta \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the Lemma 5.12; the details are omitted. □

Using (5.49) and (5.50) we observe that for each $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ the space $M(\theta-1)$ is a direct sum of the subspaces $V^l(\theta)$, $0 \leq l \leq \theta-1$, which are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. We now re-arrange the ordered basis $\mathcal{A}^l(\theta)$ of $V^l(\theta)$ to obtain a symplectic basis $\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)$ of $V^l(\theta)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ as follows. For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^l(\theta) := \begin{cases} \left(w^\theta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta/2\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\theta_{(t_\theta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\theta_{(t_\theta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\theta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta/2\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \leq l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\theta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta/2\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\theta_{(t_\theta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\right) & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\theta_{(t_\theta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\theta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta/2\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\theta+1)/2 \leq l \leq (\theta-1) \\ \left(w^\theta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta/2\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\theta_{(t_\theta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\theta_{t_\theta\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\theta+1)/2 \leq l \leq (\theta-1). \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for each $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, define

$$\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta) := \begin{cases} \left(w^\zeta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta/2\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\zeta_{(t_\zeta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } 0 \leq l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\zeta_{(t_\zeta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\zeta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta/2\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } 0 \leq l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\zeta_{(t_\zeta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\zeta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta/2\,l}\right) & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \left(w^\zeta_{(t_\zeta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\zeta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta/2\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is even and } (\zeta+1)/2 \leq l \leq (\zeta-1) \\ \left(w^\zeta_{1\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta/2\,l}\right) \vee \left(w^\zeta_{(t_\zeta/2+1)\,l}, \cdots, w^\zeta_{t_\zeta\,l}\right) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd and } (\zeta+1)/2 \leq l \leq (\zeta-1). \end{cases}$$

For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \leq l \leq \eta/2-1$, set

$$W^l(\eta) := X^l L(\eta-1) + X^{\eta-1-l} L(\eta-1). \tag{5.52}$$

We moreover re-arrange the ordered basis $\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)\vee\mathcal{B}^{\eta-1-l}(\eta)$ of $W^l(\eta)$ and obtain new basis $\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{D}^l(\eta) := \begin{cases} \big(X^l v_1, \cdots, X^l v_{p_\eta}\big) \vee \big(X^{\eta-1-l} v_{p_\eta+1}, \cdots, X^{\eta-1-l} v_{t_\eta}\big) \\ \bigvee \big(X^{\eta-1-l} v_1, \cdots, X^{\eta-1-l} v_{p_\eta}\big) \vee \big(X^l v_{p_\eta+1}, \cdots, X^l v_{t_\eta}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \\ \big(X^{\eta-1-l} v_1, \cdots, X^{\eta-1-l} v_{p_\eta}\big) \vee \big(X^l v_{p_\eta+1}, \cdots, X^l v_{t_\eta}\big) \\ \bigvee \big(X^l v_1, \cdots, X^l v_{p_\eta}\big) \vee \big(X^{\eta-1-l} v_{p_\eta+1}, \cdots, X^{\eta-1-l} v_{t_\eta}\big) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{5.53}$$

Using (5.48) it can be easily verified that $\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)$ is a symplectic basis with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

Let $J_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)}$ be the complex structure on $V^l(\theta)$ associated to the basis $\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, and let $J_{\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)}$ be the complex structure on $W^l(\eta)$ associated to the basis $\mathcal{D}^l(\eta)$ for $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l \le \eta-1$; see Section 2.1 for the definition of such complex structures.

The next lemma is a standard fact where we recall, without a proof, an explicit description of a maximal compact subgroup in a symplectic group. Let V' be a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle'$ be a non-degenerate symplectic form on V' and $\mathcal{B}'$ be a symplectic basis of V'. Let $J_{\mathcal{B}'}$ be the complex structure on V' associated to $\mathcal{B}'$. Let $2m := \dim_{\mathbb{R}} V'$. We set

$$K_{\mathcal{B}'} := \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}(V', \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle') \mid gJ_{\mathcal{B}'} = J_{\mathcal{B}'}g\}.$$

Lemma 5.34. *Let $V', \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle', \mathcal{B}'$ and $J_{\mathcal{B}'}$ be as above. Then*

(1) $K_{\mathcal{B}'}$ is a maximal compact subgroup in $\mathrm{Sp}(V', \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle')$.
(2) $K_{\mathcal{B}'} = \left\{ g \in \mathrm{SL}(V') \;\middle|\; [g]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \text{ where } A + \sqrt{-1}B \in \mathrm{U}(m) \right\}$.

Define the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{B}'} : \{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}} V' \mid xJ_{\mathcal{B}'} = J_{\mathcal{B}'}x\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \longmapsto A + \sqrt{-1}B \tag{5.54}$$

where $[x]_{\mathcal{B}'} = \begin{pmatrix} A & -B \\ B & A \end{pmatrix}$. In view of Lemma 5.34 it is clear that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{B}'}(K_{\mathcal{B}'}) = \mathrm{U}(m)$, and thus $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{B}'} : K_{\mathcal{B}'} \to \mathrm{U}(m)$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups.

In the next lemma we describe a suitable maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ which will be used in Proposition 5.36. Define the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra embedding

$$\wp_{m,\mathbb{C}} : \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}), \quad R \longmapsto \begin{pmatrix} S & -T \\ T & S \end{pmatrix}$$

where $S, T \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R})$ are the unique elements such that $R = S + \sqrt{-1}T$.

Lemma 5.35. *Let K be the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ consisting of elements g in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

(1) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le \theta-1$, $g(V^l(\theta)) \subset V^l(\theta)$.
(2) For all $\theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exist $A_\theta, B_\theta \in \mathrm{M}_{t_\theta/2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $A_\theta + \sqrt{-1}B_\theta \in \mathrm{U}(t_\theta/2)$ such that

$$\big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \wp_{t_\theta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\theta + \sqrt{-1}B_\theta) & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\theta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\theta - \sqrt{-1}B_\theta) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\theta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\theta + \sqrt{-1}B_\theta) & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\theta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\theta + \sqrt{-1}B_\theta) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1 \\ \wp_{t_\theta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\theta - \sqrt{-1}B_\theta) & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1. \end{cases}$$

(3) For all $\zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exist $A_\zeta, B_\zeta \in \mathrm{M}_{t_\zeta/2}(\mathbb{R})$ with $A_\zeta + \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta \in \mathrm{U}(t_\zeta/2)$ such that

$$\big[g|_{V^l(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \wp_{t_\zeta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\zeta + \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta) & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\zeta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\zeta - \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\zeta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\zeta - \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta) & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \wp_{t_\zeta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\zeta + \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta) & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1 \\ \wp_{t_\zeta/2,\mathbb{C}}(A_\zeta - \sqrt{-1}B_\zeta) & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1. \end{cases}$$

(4) For all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $0 \le l \le \eta-1$, $g(X^l L(\eta-1)) \subset X^l L(\eta-1)$.

(5) For all $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, there exist $C_\eta \in \mathrm{O}_{p_\eta}$ and $D_\eta \in \mathrm{O}_{q_\eta}$ such that

$$\big[g|_{X^l L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\eta & 0 \\ 0 & D_\eta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then K is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$.

Proof. For our convenience we begin by introducing a new notation. Let m be an integer. For a matrix Z in $\mathrm{M}_{2m}(\mathbb{R})$, define

$$Z^\dagger := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_m \\ \mathrm{I}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix} Z \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathrm{I}_m \\ \mathrm{I}_m & 0 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.$$

Note that $\begin{pmatrix} P & -R \\ R & P \end{pmatrix}^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} P & R \\ -R & P \end{pmatrix}$ for matrices $P, R \in \mathrm{M}_m(\mathbb{R})$.

Let $K' \subset \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ be the subgroup consisting of all elements g satisfying the following conditions:

$$\text{For all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}, 0 \le l \le \theta-1,\ g\big(V^l(\theta)\big) \subset V^l(\theta). \tag{5.55}$$

$$\text{For all } \theta \in \mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}, \big[g|_{V^l(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\theta)} = \begin{cases} \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l = (\theta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\theta)}\big]^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\theta+1)/2 \le l \le \theta-1, \end{cases} \tag{5.56}$$

$$\text{For all } \zeta \in \mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}, \big[g|_{V^l(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^l(\zeta)} = \begin{cases} \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } 0 \le l < (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l = (\zeta-1)/2 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is odd, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1 \\ \big[g|_{V^0(\zeta)}\big]^\dagger_{\mathcal{C}^0(\zeta)} & \text{if } l \text{ is even, } (\zeta+1)/2 \le l \le \zeta-1, \end{cases} \tag{5.57}$$

$$g|_{V^0(\theta)} \text{ commutes with } J_{\mathcal{C}^0(\theta)}, \tag{5.58}$$

$$g\big(X^l L(\eta-1)\big) \subset X^l L(\eta-1),\ \big[g|_{X^l L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)} = \big[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\big]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)} \text{ for all } \eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}, \tag{5.59}$$

$$0 \le l \le \eta-1,$$

$$g|_{W^0(\eta)} \text{ commutes with } J_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}. \tag{5.60}$$

Using Lemma 5.33 and Lemma 5.34(1) it is clear that K' is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$. Hence to prove the lemma it suffices to show that $K = K'$. Let $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$. Using Lemma 5.34 (2) it is straightforward to check that g satisfies (1), (2), (3) in the statement

of the lemma if and only if g satisfies (5.55), (5.56), (5.57) and (5.58). Now suppose that $g \in \mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ and g satisfies (4) and (5) in the statement of the lemma. It is clear that (5.59) holds. We observe that

$$\left[J_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{I}_{t_\eta} \\ \mathrm{I}_{t_\eta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \left[g|_{W^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} C_\eta & & & \\ & D_\eta & & \\ & & C_\eta & \\ & & & D_\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)$ is defined by setting $l = 0$ in (5.53). From the matrix representations as above, it is clear that $J_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}$ and $g|_{W^0(\eta)}$ commute. This proves that (5.60) holds.

Now we assume that g satisfies (5.59) and (5.60). It is clear that (4) in the statement of the lemma holds. Note that $A := \left[g|_{L(\eta-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)} = \left[g|_{X^l L(\eta-1)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^l(\eta)}$ for $1 \le l \le \eta - 1$. We observe that

$$\left[J_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)\vee\mathcal{B}^{\eta-1}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\mathrm{I}_{p_\eta,q_\eta} \\ \mathrm{I}_{p_\eta,q_\eta} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \left[g|_{W^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{B}^0(\eta)\vee\mathcal{B}^{\eta-1}(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & \\ & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (5.60) it follows that the above two matrices commute, which in turn implies that A commutes with $\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{I}_{p_\eta} & \\ & -\mathrm{I}_{q_\eta} \end{pmatrix}$. Thus A is of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix}$ for some matrices $C \in \mathrm{GL}_{p_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$ and $D \in \mathrm{GL}_{q_\eta}(\mathbb{R})$. Now observe that

$$\left[g|_{W^0(\eta)}\right]_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)} = \begin{pmatrix} C & & & \\ & D & & \\ & & C & \\ & & & D \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $g|_{W^0(\eta)}$ commutes with $J_{\mathcal{D}^0(\eta)}$, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} + \sqrt{-1}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{U}(t_\eta).$$

Thus, $C \in \mathrm{O}_{p_\eta}$ and $D \in \mathrm{O}_{q_\eta}$ and (5) in the statement of the lemma holds. This completes the proof. □

We next introduce some notation which will be needed in Proposition 5.36. Recall that the positive parts of the symplectic basis $\mathcal{D}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{C}(\theta)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{D}_+(\eta)$, $\mathcal{C}_+(\theta)$ respectively; see Section 2.1. Similarly, the negative parts of $\mathcal{D}(\eta)$, $\mathcal{C}(\theta)$ are denoted by $\mathcal{D}_-(\eta)$, $\mathcal{C}_-(\theta)$ respectively. For $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, set

$$\mathcal{D}_+(\eta) := \mathcal{D}_+^0(\eta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}_+^{\eta/2-1}(\eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_-(\eta) := \mathcal{D}_-^0(\eta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}_-^{\eta/2-1}(\eta).$$

For $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, set

$$\mathcal{C}_+(\theta) := \mathcal{C}_+^0(\theta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+^{\theta-1}(\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}_-(\theta) := \mathcal{C}_-^0(\theta) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-^{\theta-1}(\theta).$$

Let $\alpha := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. We enumerate $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\eta_i \mid 1 \le i \le \alpha\}$ such that $\eta_i < \eta_{i+1}$, and $\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\theta_j \mid 1 \le j \le \beta\}$ such that $\theta_j < \theta_{j+1}$; similarly enumerate $\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}} = \{\zeta_j \mid 1 \le j \le \gamma\}$ such that $\zeta_j < \zeta_{j+1}$. Now define

$$\mathcal{E}_+ := \mathcal{D}_+(\eta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}_+(\eta_\alpha); \quad \mathcal{O}^1_+ := \mathcal{C}_+(\theta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+(\theta_\beta); \quad \mathcal{O}^3_+ := \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_+(\zeta_\gamma);$$

$$\mathcal{E}_- := \mathcal{D}_-(\eta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}_-(\eta_\alpha); \ \mathcal{O}^1_- := \mathcal{C}_-(\theta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-(\theta_\beta) \text{ and } \mathcal{O}^3_- := \mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_1) \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{C}_-(\zeta_\gamma).$$

Also we define

$$\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{E}_+ \vee \mathcal{O}^1_+ \vee \mathcal{O}^3_+, \quad \mathcal{H}_- := \mathcal{E}_- \vee \mathcal{O}^1_- \vee \mathcal{O}^3_- \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} := \mathcal{H}_+ \vee \mathcal{H}_-. \tag{5.61}$$

As before, for a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$, define $\overline{A} := (\overline{a}_{ij}) \in \mathrm{M}_r(\mathbb{C})$. Let

$$\mathbf{D}\colon \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \big(\mathrm{M}_{p_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathrm{M}_{q_{\eta_i}}(\mathbb{R})\big) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\theta_j}/2}(\mathbb{C}) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{M}_{t_{\zeta_k}/2}(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

be the $\mathbb{R}$-algebra embedding defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(C_{\eta_1}, D_{\eta_1}, \cdots, C_{\eta_\alpha}, D_{\eta_\alpha}; A_{\theta_1}, \cdots, A_{\theta_\beta}; B_{\zeta_1}, \cdots, B_{\zeta_\gamma}\big) \\
&\qquad \longmapsto \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\alpha} (C_{\eta_i} \oplus D_{\eta_i})_{\blacktriangle}^{\eta_i/2} \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\beta} \Big((A_{\theta_j} \oplus \overline{A}_{\theta_j})_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}} \oplus A_{\theta_j} \oplus (A_{\theta_j} \oplus \overline{A}_{\theta_j})_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\theta_j-1}{4}} \Big) \\
&\qquad \oplus \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\gamma} \Big((B_{\zeta_k} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k})_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k+1}{4}} \oplus (B_{\zeta_k} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k})_{\blacktriangle}^{\frac{\zeta_k-3}{4}} \oplus \overline{B}_{\zeta_k} \Big).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the basis $\mathcal{H}$ in (5.61) is a symplectic basis of V with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}} : \{x \in \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{R}^{2n} \mid xJ_{\mathcal{H}} = J_{\mathcal{H}}x\} \longrightarrow \mathrm{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}$-algebras induced by the above symplectic basis $\mathcal{H}$. Recall that $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}} : K_{\mathcal{H}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(n)$ is an isomorphism of Lie groups. Using (5.58) and (5.60) we observe that the group K defined in Lemma 5.35 satisfies the condition $K \subset K_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proposition 5.36. *Let $X \in \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$. Let $\alpha := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, $\beta := \#\mathbb{O}^1_{\mathbf{d}}$ and $\gamma := \#\mathbb{O}^3_{\mathbf{d}}$. Let $\{X, H, Y\}$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple in $\mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$, and let (p_η, q_η) be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\eta$, $\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, as defined in (4.6). Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ as in Lemma 5.35. Then $\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}}(K) \subset \mathrm{U}(n)$ is given by*

$$\widetilde{\Lambda}_{\mathcal{H}}(K) = \left\{ \mathbf{D}(g) \;\middle|\; g \in \prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \big(\mathrm{O}_{p_{\eta_i}} \times \mathrm{O}_{q_{\eta_i}}\big) \times \prod_{j=1}^{\beta} \mathrm{U}(t_{\theta_j}/2) \times \prod_{k=1}^{\gamma} \mathrm{U}(t_{\zeta_k}/2) \right\}.$$

Proof. This follows by writing the matrices of the elements of the maximal compact subgroup K in Lemma 5.35 with respect to the symplectic basis $\mathcal{H}$ in (5.61). □

Theorem 5.37. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{-1}(2n)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ as in the notation of Theorem 5.32). Let $l := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ l-1 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. As the theorem is evident when $X = 0$ we assume that $X \neq 0$.

Let $\{X, H, Y\} \subset \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(X,H,Y)$ as in Lemma 5.35. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be as in (5.61) and $K_{\mathcal{H}}$ the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ as in Lemma 5.34(1). Then $K \subset K_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the Lie algebra of $K_{\mathcal{H}}$. Using Proposition 5.36 it follows that $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l = 0$, and $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \not\subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l \geq 1$. As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1$, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}] = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) - 1$$

when $l \geq 1$. The group $\mathrm{O}_2/\mathrm{SO}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts non-trivially on $\mathfrak{so}_2$ and the group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ acts trivially on $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{u}(m))$. We next use the observation in (5.9) to conclude that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \big[\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \cap [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]\big]^{K/K^\circ} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ l-1 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Now the theorem follows from Theorem 5.2. □

5.7. **Second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$.** Let n be a positive integer and (p,q) a pair of non-negative integers with $p+q=n$. In this subsection we compute the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ under the adjoint action of $\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)$. As we do not need to deal with compact groups, we will further assume that $p>0$ and $q>0$. Throughout this subsection $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the Hermitian form on $\mathbb{H}^n$ defined by $\langle x,\,y\rangle := \overline{x}^t \mathrm{I}_{p,q} y$, $x,\,y\in\mathbb{H}^n$, where $\mathrm{I}_{p,q}$ is as in (2.1).

Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$ be the parametrization as in Theorem 5.8. As $\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)\subset \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ (consequently, $\mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)}\subset \mathcal{N}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})}$) we have a natural map

$$\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H}))\,.$$

Let

$$\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)} := \Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})}\circ\Theta_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(n)$$

be the composition. Let $0\neq X\in\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ be nilpotent and $\mathcal{O}_X$ the corresponding nilpotent orbit in $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$. Let $\{X,\,H,\,Y\}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. We now apply Proposition 4.6, Remark 4.9(3), and follow the notation used therein. Let V be the right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space $\mathbb{H}^n$ of column vectors. Let $\{d_1,\cdots,d_s\}$, with $d_1<\cdots<d_s$, be a ordered finite subset of natural numbers that arise as $\mathbb{R}$-dimension of non-zero irreducible $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X,H,Y\}$-submodules of V. Let $t_{d_r} := \dim_{\mathbb{H}} L(d_r-1)$ for $1\leq r\leq s$. Then $\mathbf{d} := [d_1^{t_{d_1}},\cdots,d_s^{t_{d_s}}]\in\mathcal{P}(n)$, and moreover, $\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X)=\mathbf{d}$.

To each $\mathcal{O}_X\in\mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q))$ assign $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$; see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$. Recall that the form $(\cdot,\cdot)_d : L(d-1)\times L(d-1)\longrightarrow\mathbb{H}$ defined in (4.6) is Hermitian or skew-Hermitian according as d is odd or even. Let $(p_\theta,\,q_\theta)$ be the signature of $(\cdot,\cdot)_\theta$ when $\theta\in\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. For every $d\in\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}}$ define the following $t_d\times d$ matrix in $\mathbf{A}_d$:

$$m^\eta_{i1} := +1 \qquad \text{if } \ 1\leq i\leq t_\eta,\quad \eta\in\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}\,;$$

$$m^\theta_{i1} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ 1\leq i\leq p_\theta \\ -1 & \text{if } \ p_\theta<i\leq t_\theta \end{cases},\ \theta\in\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}\,;$$

and for $j>1$, define (m^d_{ij}) as in (5.12) and (5.13). Then the matrices (m^d_{ij}) clearly verify (**Yd**.2). Set $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X} := ((m^{d_1}_{ij}),\cdots,(m^{d_s}_{ij}))$. It now follows from the last paragraph of Remark 4.14 that $\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\in\mathcal{S}^{\mathrm{even}}_{\mathbf{d}}(p,q)$. Thus we have the map

$$\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)} : \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}(p,q)\,,\quad \mathcal{O}_X\longmapsto \big(\Psi'_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X),\,\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}\big)\,.$$

Theorem 5.38. *The above map $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}$ is a bijection.*

Remark 5.39. On account of the error in [CoMc, Lemma 9.3.1] (mentioned in Remark 4.14), the above parametrization in Theorem 5.38 is a modification of the one given in [CoMc, Theorem 9.3.5].

Theorem 5.40. *Let $X\in\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d},\,\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})\in\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{even}}(p,q)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X)=(\mathbf{d},\,\mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ in the notation of Theorem 5.38). Then $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^2(\mathcal{O}_X,\,\mathbb{R}) = l := \#\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. As the theorem is evident for $X=0$, we assume that $X\neq 0$.

Let $\{X,\,H,\,Y\}\subset\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$ be a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple. Let $V := \mathbb{H}^n$ be the right $\mathbb{H}$-vector space of column vectors. We consider V as a $\mathrm{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{X,H,Y\}$-module via its natural $\mathfrak{sp}(p,q)$-module structure. Let

$$V_{\mathbb{E}} := \bigoplus_{\eta\in\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(\eta-1)\,;\qquad V_{\mathbb{O}} := \bigoplus_{\theta\in\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} M(\theta-1).$$

Using Lemma 4.4 we see that $V = V_{\mathbb{E}} \oplus V_{\mathbb{O}}$ is an orthogonal decomposition of V with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{E}} \times V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}} := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{V_{\mathbb{O}} \times V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. Let $X_{\mathbb{E}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $X_{\mathbb{O}} := X|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{E}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$, $H_{\mathbb{O}} := H|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$, $Y_{\mathbb{E}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{E}}}$ and $Y_{\mathbb{O}} := Y|_{V_{\mathbb{O}}}$. Then we have the following natural isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(X, H, Y) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \times \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}). \tag{5.62}$$

Recall that the non-degenerate form $(\cdot, \cdot)_d$ on $L(d-1)$ is skew-Hermitian for all $d \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$ and Hermitian for all $d \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$; see Remark 4.9. Moreover, for any $\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, the signature of $(\cdot, \cdot)_\theta$ is (p_θ, q_θ). It follows from Lemma 5.3(4) that

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{SO}^*(2t_\eta) \text{ and } \mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}) \simeq \prod_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(p_\theta, q_\theta).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}})$ are both connected groups. Let $K_{\mathbb{E}}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{E}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{E}})}(X_{\mathbb{E}}, H_{\mathbb{E}}, Y_{\mathbb{E}}) \simeq \prod_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{SO}^*(2t_\eta)$, and let $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SU}(V_{\mathbb{O}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{O}})}(X_{\mathbb{O}}, H_{\mathbb{O}}, Y_{\mathbb{O}}) \simeq \prod_{\theta \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathrm{Sp}(p_\theta, q_\theta)$. Let K be the image of $K_{\mathbb{E}} \times K_{\mathbb{O}}$ under the isomorphism as in (5.62). It is clear that K is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{Sp}(p,q)}(X, H, Y)$. Let M be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ containing K. As $M \simeq \mathrm{Sp}(p) \times \mathrm{Sp}(q)$ is semisimple, and K is connected, using Theorem 5.2 we have

$$H^2(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}), \quad \text{for all } X \neq 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}$ and $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}$ be the Lie algebras of $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ and $K_{\mathbb{E}}$ respectively. As $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ is semisimple, we have $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}) = 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) \simeq \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}) \oplus \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}})$. Since $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\eta \in \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}} \mathfrak{u}(t_\eta)$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{E}}) = l$. This completes the proof. □

6. First cohomology of nilpotent orbits

In this section we apply the results of the previous section to compute the first cohomology of the nilpotent orbits in the classical simple Lie algebras. We begin by showing that in the case of complex simple Lie algebras the first cohomology of all the nilpotent orbits vanish.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra. Then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ for every nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Any maximal compact subgroup of a simple complex Lie Group is simple. The theorem follows from Corollary 3.8. □

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be either $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. Then $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ for every nilpotent element $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.*

Proof. Let G be $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{H})$ or $\mathrm{Sp}(p, q)$ according as $\mathfrak{g}$ is $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{H})$ or $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. Then any maximal compact subgroup of G is simple. The theorem now follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Theorem 6.3. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-zero nilpotent element. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 2 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.7. When $n \geq 3$, it is clear that $\mathfrak{m} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$. When $n = 2$, we have $\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathfrak{so}_2$ and $\Psi_{\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = [2^1]$. Thus, using (5.6) we see that $\mathfrak{k} = 0$. Now the theorem follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Theorem 6.4. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{su}(p, q)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}(p, q)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SU}(p,q)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ in the notation of Theorem 5.10). Let $l := \#\{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \mid p_d \neq 0\} + \#\{d \in \mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} \mid q_d \neq 0\}$. Then the following hold:*

(1) If $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
(2) If $l = 1$ *and* $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$, *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
(3) If $l \geq 2$ *and* $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \geq 1$, *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Proof. We follow the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.15. We now appeal to Proposition 5.14 to make the following observations:

(1) If $\mathbb{N}_{\mathbf{d}} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{d}}$, then $\mathfrak{k} \subset [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$. Hence, $\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneqq \mathfrak{m}$.
(2) If $\mathbf{d} = [d^{t_d}]$, then $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}) = 0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subsetneqq \mathfrak{m}$.
(3) If $\#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}} \geq 1$ and $l \geq 2$, then $\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}$.

As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{m}) = 1$, the theorem follows from Theorem 5.2. □

Theorem 6.5. *Consider* $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$, *and assume that* $p \neq 2$, $q \neq 2$. *Then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ *for all nilpotent elements* X *in* $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{k}$ be as in the proof of Theorem 5.25. Since $p \neq 2$, $q \neq 2$, we have $\mathfrak{m} = [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}]$. Using Theorem 5.2 we conclude that $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. □

We will next consider the remaining cases of $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ which are not covered in Theorem 6.5; they are: $p > 2, q = 2$; $p = 2, q > 2$ and $(p, q) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 2), (2, 2)\}$. In Section 5.4 it was observed that when $p > 2, q = 2$, the non-zero nilpotent orbits correspond to only four possible signed Young diagrams as given in (**a**.1), (**a**.2), (**a**.3), (**a**.4), and similarly, when $p = 2, q > 2$, the non-zero nilpotent orbits correspond to only four possible signed Young diagrams as given in (**b**.1), (**b**.2), (**b**.3), (**b**.4).

In the proof of our next result the cases when $(p, q) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 2)\}$ can be easily settled. However, when $(p, q) = (2, 2)$ we need to list the set of signed Young diagrams $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\text{even}}(2, 2)$ (see Section 2.2 for the definition of $\mathcal{Y}_1^{\text{even}}(p, q)$) which correspond to non-zero nilpotent orbits, and this is done below:

c.1 $\left([1^1, 3^1], ((m^1_{ij}), (m^3_{ij}))\right)$ where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are 1×1 and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1} = +1, i = 1$; $m^3_{i1} = +1, i = 1$ and **Yd**.2.
c.2 $\left([1^1, 3^1], ((m^1_{ij}), (m^3_{ij}))\right)$ where (m^1_{ij}) and (m^3_{ij}) are 1×1 and 1×3 matrices respectively, satisfying $m^1_{i1} = -1, i = 1$; $m^3_{i1} = -1, i = 1$ and **Yd**.2.
c.3 $\left([2^2, (m^2_{ij})]\right)$ where (m^2_{ij}) is a 2×2 matrix satisfying $m^2_{i1} = +1, 1 \leq i \leq 2$ and **Yd**.2.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}$ *be the parametrization in Theorem 5.16. Let* $\mathcal{O}_X \in \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ)$. *Then the following hold:*

(1) Suppose $(p, q) \in \{(2, 1), (1, 2)\}$, *then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.
(2) Assume that $(p, q) = (2, 2)$.
  (i) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is either as in* (**c**.1) *or as in* (**c**.2), *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 2$.
  (ii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is as in* (**c**.3), *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
(3) Assume that $p > 2$ *and* $q = 2$.
  (i) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is as in either* (**a**.1) *or* (**a**.2) *or* (**a**.3), *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
  (ii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is as in* (**a**.4), *then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.
(4) Assume that $p = 2$ *and* $q > 2$.
  (i) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is as in* (**b**.1) *or* (**b**.2) *or* (**b**.3), *then* $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.
  (ii) If $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,q)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is as in* (**b**.4), *then* $H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.

Proof. As $X \neq 0$, it lies in a $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$-triple, say $\{X, H, Y\}$, in $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$.

Proof of (1): Let K' be a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,q)^\circ}(X, H, Y)$. Let $\mathfrak{k}'$ be the Lie algebra of K' and $\mathfrak{m}$ the Lie algebra of a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(p, q)^\circ$ which

contains K'. When $(p,q) \in \{(2,1),(1,2)\}$, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{m} = 1$ and $\Psi'_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X) = [3^1]$. In particular, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} L(3-1) = 1$. Using Lemma 5.3 (4) we have $\mathfrak{k}' = 0$. Hence, using Theorem 5.2, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 1$.

Proof of (2): Let $\mathfrak{k}'$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be as in the proof of (1) above. Observe that in this case $\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathfrak{so}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_2$.

We first prove (i) of (2). Suppose $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is either as in (c.1) or as in (c.2). We appeal to Lemma 5.3(4) to conclude that $\mathfrak{k}' = 0$. Therefore, using Theorem 5.2 it follows that $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = 2$.

We now prove (ii) of (2). Take $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is as in (c.3). Using Lemma 5.3(4) we have $\mathfrak{k}' \simeq \mathfrak{u}(1) \simeq \mathfrak{so}_2$. The statement (ii) now follows by appealing to Theorem 5.2.

Proof of (3): We first prove (3)(i). Let $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}(2,2)^\circ}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be as in (a.1), (a.2) or (a.3). Let K and M be the maximal compact subgroups of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)}(X,H,Y)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(p,2)$ respectively, as defined in the first paragraph of the proof of Theorem 5.26(2). Recall that $K_{\mathbb{O}} := K \cap M^\circ = K \cap \mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathrm{SO}(p,2)^\circ}(X,H,Y)$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be the Lie algebras of $K_{\mathbb{O}}$ and M° respectively. Using (5.29), (5.30), (5.31) for the signed Young diagrams (a.1), (a.2), (a.3) respectively, we observe that in all the cases $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{O}} \subset [\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}]$. Now (3)(i) follows from Theorem 5.2.

We next prove (3)(ii). Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be as in the proof of (2)(iv) of Theorem 5.26. Then using (5.32), we have $\widetilde{\mathfrak{k}} + [\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{m}] = \mathfrak{m}$. The statement (3)(ii) now follows using Theorem 5.2.

The proofs of (4)(i) and (4)(ii) are similar to those of (3)(i) and (3)(ii) respectively. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}(n)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{SO}^*(2n)}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ in the notation of Theorem 5.27). Let $l := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.31. Using Proposition 5.30 we have $\mathfrak{k} \subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l = 0$, and $\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}] = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}$ when $l \geq 1$. As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1$, the proof is completed by Theorem 5.2. □

Theorem 6.8. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{sp}(n,\mathbb{R})$ be a nilpotent element. Let $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X}) \in \mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{odd}}_{-1}(2n)$ be the signed Young diagram of the orbit $\mathcal{O}_X$ (that is, $\Psi_{\mathrm{Sp}(n,\mathbb{R})}(\mathcal{O}_X) = (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{sgn}_{\mathcal{O}_X})$ in the notation of Theorem 5.32). Let $l := \#\mathbb{O}_{\mathbf{d}}$. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathbb{R}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } l \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We follow the notation of the proof of Theorem 5.37. Using Proposition 5.36, we conclude that $\mathfrak{k} \subset [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}]$ when $l = 0$ and $\mathfrak{k} + [\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}, \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}] = \mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}$ when $l \geq 1$. As $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathcal{H}}) = 1$, theorem now follows from Theorem 5.2. □

References

[ABB] H. Azad, E. van den Ban and I. Biswas, Symplectic geometry of semisimple orbits. *Indag. Math.* **19** (2008), no. 4, 507–533.

[Bo] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups*, Second Enlarged Edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 126, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[Br] G. Bredon, *Topology and geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 139, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.

[BC1] I. Biswas and P. Chatterjee, On the exactness of Kostant-Kirillov form and the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits, *Internat. J. Math.* **23** (2012), no. 8, 1250086.

[BC2] I. Biswas and P. Chatterjee, Second cohomology of compact homogeneous spaces, *Internat. J. Math.* **24** (2013), no. 9, 1350076.

[CE] C. Chevalley and S. Eilenberg, Cohomology theory of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 85–124.

[ChMa] P. Chatterjee and C. Maity, On the second cohomology of nilpotent orbits in exceptional Lie algebras, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **141** (2017), no. 1, 10–24.

[CoMc] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern, *Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993.

[Ho] G. Hochschild, *The structure of Lie groups*, Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, 1965.

[Kn] A. W. Knapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction,* Second edition, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 140, Birkhäusar, Basel, 2002.

[Mc] W. M. McGovern, The adjoint representation and the adjoint action, in: *Algebraic quotients. Torus actions and cohomology. The adjoint representation and the adjoint action*, 159–238, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., 131, Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[Mo] G. D. Mostow, On covariant fiberings of Klein spaces, *Amer. Jour. Math.* **77** (1955), 247–278.

[Sp] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry*, Vol. V, Third edition, Publish or Perish, Inc., Houston, Texas, 1999.

[SpSt] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes, in : *1970 Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups* (The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1968/69) pp. 167–266, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 131 Springer, Berlin, 1970.

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Mumbai 400005, India

E-mail address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, CIT Campus, Tharamani, Chennai 600113, India

E-mail address: pralay@imsc.res.in

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, CIT Campus, Tharamani, Chennai 600113, India

E-mail address: cmaity@imsc.res.in